As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-azxu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4592 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-zxql Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4593 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Sam Vailencour

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-nv8l Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4594 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Carl Dennis

Address:

21843 Unbridled Avenue Parker, CO, 80138-3082 Email: rdennis303@aol.om Phone: 303-841-1924

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety	. Whether you like it or not,	the First and
Second Amendments are still the law of the land!		

Respectfully,

Ronald Carl Dennis

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-0i5w Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4595 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark North

Address:

21032 State Route 18 Defiance, OH, 43512 **Email:** manorth01@gmail.com

Phone: 419-769-0987

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mark A. North

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-k0r9 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4596 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Hand

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-603v **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4598 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Blackwell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-juun Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4599 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kurt Kolanko

Address:

2825 Bagley Drive West Kokomo, IN, 46902

Email: valmike01@hotmail.com

Phone: 7652715151

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Kurt J. Kolanko

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-urdm

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4600 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-n16y Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4601 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Tuttle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-dz61 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4602 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anthony Koo

Address:

62 Ketch Rd

Morristown, NJ, 07960 **Email:** Tkoo@optonline.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Anthony Koo

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-d4e0 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4603 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ADC P.G. Coulombe USN/Ret

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

These requests carry the weight of my strongest personal desires.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-psh8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4604 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Todd Chesney

Address:

678 N. Fire Sky Ln. Chino Valley, AZ, 86323 Email: tchesney@cvaz.net Phone: 928-642-7985

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yi7x **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4605 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Just Ken

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hf4l Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4606 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nam Pham

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-jm89 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4607 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Decker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-zgik Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4608 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeff R.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Just so you know...we WILL NOT COMPLY.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ycfy **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4610 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Vigen

Address:

1110 24th St Apt B9 Anacortes, WA, 98221 **Email:** kokopelli67@gmail.com

Phone: 3602206606

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2qg5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4611 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qcgh **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4612 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Brunner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank You

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-w1jn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4613 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: keltie tuttle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-q1lq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4614 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gtgj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4615 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yhzg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4616 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dennis O'Connor

Address:

4957 Warwick Court Prince George, VA, 23875

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-x0da Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4617 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: E. Byrd

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-dd5z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4618 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mirando Ketner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-sgao Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4619 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Konieczki

Address:

419 Calhoun St Peru, IL,

Email: Forrdlgs@yahoo.com Phone: 815-220-0266

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-poew Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4620 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Paul

Address:

414 Monroe Ave Dixon, IL, 61021

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-zxxh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4621 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-henc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4622 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Walters

Address:

7357 Old River Road Brooklet, GA, 30415 **Email:** waltersg58@gmail.com

Phone: 9123469535

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-8aiv **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4623 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Si Simonsen

Address:

PO Box 548

Redmond, OR, 97756

Email: siinor@bendbroadband.com

Phone: 5415984116

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

This is an inappropriate attack on free speech. Perhaps you could be as vigilant in prosecuting criminals as you seem to be in trying to impact law abiding citizens!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-mo2g Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4624 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Snyder

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-96kq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4625 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Franklin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-elan Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4626 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve DeFalco

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yt0c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4627 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Schultz

Address:

149 Nineteen North Court

Pgh., PA, 15237 Email: alan202@verizon.net

Phone: 412-367-5785

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

Please note, before reading a more flowery, possibly polite version of comment, the following. You might think about it too. Who is the dummy or dummies who perhaps in the throws of a "wet dream", brainstormed this particularly stupid idea together? The more flowery version follows immediately below, but pay particular attention to my Plain English version.

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This

means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ad58 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4628 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Stopani

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-el5y Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4629 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Duvall

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-s8nb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4630 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Shreaves

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-h9ds Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4631 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Josh Apple Address:

2522 Netherwood Drive Greensboro, NC, 27408 **Email:** mrapplerocks@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-xpg6 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4632 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roger German

Address:

3066 N. Taylor Decatur, IL, 62526 Email: ocs@att.net Phone: 2178757414

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2uhq

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4633 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Fairbank

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qr3l Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4634 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Wardell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-5scf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4635 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Darin Yohe Address:

419 SE 16th ST Cape Coral, FL, 33990 Email: dyohe@fgcu.edu

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-1zc7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4636 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Cozad

Address: PO Box 150

Clintonville, PA, 16372 **Email:** macozad@zoominternet.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)

-- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face

significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-u8i0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4637 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land! Stop violating our 1st and 2nd Amendment rights!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4dom **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4638 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gw2q **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4639 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, A concerned citizen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-6oq0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4640 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

No thanks to you clowns, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qvxf **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4641 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Davey anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qufo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4642 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce Hollander

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Bruce Hollander

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9ekn Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4643 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian McLinn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Brian McLinn

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 12, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-cdtg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research: Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4644 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Nicholson

Address:

24404 Needham Rd Porter, TX, 77365

Email: nicholl12@embarkmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you, Larry Nicholson

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-725y Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4645 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin Stover

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-5g0m **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4646 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-xx69 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4647 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: WILLIAM WINSTANLEY

Address:

101 BARBARY WHITNEY, TX, 76692 **Email:** byrdsarms@gmail.com

Phone: 254-694-3436

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-d683 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4648 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joyce White

Address:

6907 Kipling Pkwy
District Heights, MD, 20747
Email: joyce.y.white@verizon.net

Phone: 301-568-2796

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2fn2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4649 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Botts

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-kxip

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4650 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gyqw **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4651 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thomas Wilbur

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-pr72 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4652 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne Rohde

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7xva Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4653 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patrick Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-f71m Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4654 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-8bgj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4655 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dax Moore

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hbne **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4656 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-8avo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4657 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-az1q Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4658 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Lasco

Address:

27 Fayette Street Apt. 1

Brooklyn, NY, 11206 Email: NYYankees82870@aol.com

Phone: 347-512-7451

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qf29 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4659 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-5anv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4660 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Peterson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ood0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4661 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Wilsey

Address:

22118 Brier rd.

Brier, WA, 98036-8022 **Email:** gwilsey60@hotmail.com

Phone: 206 300 4094

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gb1z **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4662 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: jason reeder

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-1eny Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4663 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lane Holmes Address: 2305 Cleary Ave

#220 Metairie, 70001

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-63w7 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4664 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Chase

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-5oup Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4665 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin McAnally

Address:

25346 S. Valley Dr. Claremore, OK, 74019

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7ii6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4666 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Wagner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-di2a Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4667 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ce3v

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4668 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mitchell Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

Apparently you people need a reminder of the supreme law of these United States. That would be the Constitution.

"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

Notice the key words, "..abridging the freedom of speech..."

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-isf2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4669 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Korry Tessen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 12, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-nm9c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4670 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: charles dallas

Address: po box 814

lafayette, TN, 37083 Email: constabletn@nctc.com

General Comment

once again I find myself disgusted with the unconstitutional action of this administrations, To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yumx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4671 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Meskimen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-na7f Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4672 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9q4p Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4673 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Corporon

Address:

3908 River Oaks Drive Kingsland, TX, 78639

Email: gene corporon@verizon.net

Phone: 3253884349

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-325m **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4674 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Duprey

Address:

175 Donahue rd peru, NY, 12972

Email: sf49ers01@hotmail.com

Phone: 5186436868

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort	to ban	3-D	printers

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

David Duprey

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ch1j Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4675 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Atkinson, MD.

Address:

Simpsonville, SC, 29681

General Comment

letter to the Department of State -----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-updm **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4676 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lloyd Baker

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7x0h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4677 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nick Kaufer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ruvr **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4678 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gerald Brickwood

Address:

400 Moran Rd.

Little Meadows, PA, 18830

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)

-- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by this or any other administration

as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license.

This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban

3-D printers.

I urge you to cancel these new regulations in their entirety.

The idea of a Department that is supposed to represent US to the world using the First Amendment to suppress

the Second Amendment is despicable!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4w77 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4679 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Winstanley

Address:

101BARBARY WHITNEY, TX, 76692

Email: tweetibyrdenterprises@gmail.com

Phone: 254-694-3436

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-a6tw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4681 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alexander Stewart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-wxwj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4682 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-lrm6 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4683 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Aberle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-o2yu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4684 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brad Meyer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-x5t8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4685 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Marks

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-dbto Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4686 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Thompson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-puk4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4687 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ross Primrose

Address:

3537 Days Ln Catlett, VA, 20119

Email: roscoe@dcaudiodiy.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-y764 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4688 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Troy Thacker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hq3m **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4689 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Hamitlon

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gayh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4690 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Derrick King

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2clj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4691 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Newby

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mr. & Ms Jason Newby

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-u7oa **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4692 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shane Svatos

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-b5gl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4693 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7a6e **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4694 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Prater

Address:

30026 Sleepy Brook Ln Magnolia, TX, 77355 **Email:** gary.prater@sbcglobal.net

Phone: 281-705-4929

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hgoh **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4695 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gerald Kasuga

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hes7 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4696 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-jcc6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4697 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Craig Warnol

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Craig Warnol

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-i5ap Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4698 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Hooten

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the changes imposed by the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which would potentially grant the State Department the power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

Language such as making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- would put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

Posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined any current or future administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This violates constitutionally protected free speech.

Further, I oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printed plans for firearm related files.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7ozh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4699 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dave Rentschler

Address:

20 W. Main Street Hohenwald, TN, 38462 **Email:** daver@controlreps.com

Phone: 931 209 5720

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-f6e4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4700 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: wayne veino

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-pzhw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4701 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: richard weatherill Address:

6835 Lonewood dr. colorado springs, CO, 80925 **Email:** rsweatherill@gmail.com

Phone: 719-471-2978

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank-You Richard Weatherill

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-c8ri

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4702 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dennis Corl

Address:

Falls Church, VA, 22044 Email: dpcorl@aol.com
Phone: 703.717.1566

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-6vgr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4703 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Jackson

Address:

1708 S. Fargo Drive Safford, AZ, 85546 **Email:** packrats@cableone.net

Phone: 928-322-6531

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-nvgk Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4704 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Adam Warren

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-zldb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4705 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: scott simmons

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-uv9x **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4706 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Wixom

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qlae **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4707 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Forrest Musick

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-jeco Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4708 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jill Silberberg

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-12ko Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4709 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Noah Russell

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yfha Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4710 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Timothy Baumgartner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-myri Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4711 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-n9eu Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4712 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Truman Handy Address:

76 Queen St

Gorham, ME, 04038 **Email:** thandy2@maine.rr.com

Phone: 207-222-2692

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-wdxf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4713 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joe Biddle Address:

1905. Weaver rd Henagar, AL, 35978

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-3s0v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4714 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brett McFarland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-lxr3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4715 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dan Barnes

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-imb9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4716 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kris Sheets

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-otc2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4717 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Harford Ellis Address:

476 MS Hwy. 15 Ackerman, MS, 39735

Email: sonny.ellis@gmail.com

Phone: 6622852991

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ddlf **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4718 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph M

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-z0xa Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4719 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Christian Robinson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9ltn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4720 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jay Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4yhx **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4721 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Brown

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-67s2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4722 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jennifer Senn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-3v35 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4724 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: RK

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yxhp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4725 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dan Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gyeg **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4726 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kyle M.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ypfl Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4727 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kathryn Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

-Kathryn Chow, R.T.(R) (ARRT)

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-757b **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4729 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Karen D

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant

the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)

-- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face

significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to

ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-w7cn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4730 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Warren Peterson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-w70a Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4731 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Klopfer

Address:

39 Denise Dr. Edison, NJ, 08820

Email: Hockeyjim9@yahoo.com

Phone: 732 742-9263

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 15, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-rexn
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4732 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Oakden

Address:

6 Shirleen Drive Hilton, NY, 14468-8701

Email: gary.oakden@gmail.com Phone: 585-392-8032

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant

criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D

printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments

are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-sh09 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4733 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ken W

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-evoh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4734 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, R.Bradley Stoughton

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2ez0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4736 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Schmidt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Steve Schmidt

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7wzo **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4737 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-c850 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4738 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2zw2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4739 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Egelhoff

Address:

3002 Highwall Way Columbus, OH, 43221 **Email:** tjegelhoff@gmail.com

Phone: 614-595-1923

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-z1dw Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4740 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Bergen

Address:

13124 Walnut Dr Burnsville, MN, 55337 **Email:** billb41@centurylink.net

Phone: 952-894-5725

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-6ihv **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4741 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Pinson

Address:

1901 Merrill CK PKWY

A405

Everett, WA, 98203 Email: sp36@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yerk **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4742 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike E.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4w8g Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4743 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wendy M

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-icvc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4744 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Tarlo Sr

Address:

3129 Garden Ave Royal Oak, MI, 48073 Email: ait16fast@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-fj8u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4745 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2r75 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4746 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Demos Doulou

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-336r **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4747 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ryan Brush

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2gon Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4748 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-6qe7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4749 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Address:

46842 Lacroys Point Terrace Sterling, VA, 20165 **Email:** jpiwonski@aol.com **Phone:** 703-404-0826

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ydmp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4750 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kyle Clements

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hpsy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4751 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles L Bloss Jr

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2bnk Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4752 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Vivian

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-dexb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4753 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Darcey Kobs

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-uttf **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4754 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne Hames

Address:

5920 Waterlevel Hwy Cleveland, TN, 37323 **Email:** wahames@hotmail.com

Phone: 7067660193

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-18ub Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4755 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cynthia Lantz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face

significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban

3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments

are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-fsbs Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4756 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Overstreet

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-toho Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4757 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Allen Godin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-579h **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4758 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Craig Picton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Craig Picton

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4slh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4759 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Devan Jones

Address:

2905 5th Ave NE G204 Puyallup, WA, 98372 **Email:** jones devan r@gmail.com

Phone: 2535073664

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-etq9 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4760 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roy Hoffer

Address:

453 Haverhill Road Lancaster, PA, 17601 Email: royhoffer@aol.com Phone: 717-314-6681

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-71t5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4761 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-nkac Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4762 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dana Holgate Address: United States,

Email: dana.holgate@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-i1xf **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4763 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-g95h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4764 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4108 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4765 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jackie K. Ertz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qfnl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4766 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-xyli Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4767 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-722n Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4768 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-lmtf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4769 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-30ii Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4770 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: RICHARD BRIGGS

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-53hm **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4771 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Richmond

General Comment

Obamas State Department Could Gag Firearms Communications over the Internet

Created: Friday, 12 June 2015 Written by Gun Owners of America

Not a GOA member yet? You can join Gun Owners of America today for a mere \$20!

A rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The State Department is updating the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) and has amended the existing regs to include making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet).

This restriction on Internet speech is wholly new language and puts anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison (up to 20 years) and massive fines (up to \$1 million).

The language is so broad that it could potentially include virtually any gun-related communication of a functional how to nature.

The language includes (1) non-automatic and semi-automatic firearms up to .50 caliber; (2) automatics up to .50 caliber; (3) other firearms of special military application; (4) shotguns with a barrel length less than 18 inches; and (5) components, parts, and ammunition for all of these.

In other words, electronically posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license.

And again, violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

Revised definitions could license (a.k.a., ban) 3-D printers

Another threat to gun rights is found in the revised definition of defense article, which has been redefined to be any item, software, or technical data....

So posting certain gun-related software to the Internet would first require a license -- and a huge license fee. While the term software is new, the State Department contends that this is a mere tweaking and represents no substantive change.

But if theres one unbreakable rule in politics, its that, when drafters take the time and effort to add a provision to the underlying text, it is never, never, ever without reason.

And if theres a second unbreakable rule, its that the drafters will inexorably lie and say theyre only codifying current law.

What software should we be concerned about? Well, to start with, 3-D printer programming almost certainly falls within this category and these regs seems to be an effort to outlaw this technology by executive fiat.

San Antonio gun author Nick Leghorn, who writes for The Truth About Guns, predicts an end to Encryption programs like TrueCrypt as well as 3D models of firearms....

There is much more thats involved with these regulations, and you can read about it in greater detail here.

ACTION: You can submit comments to the State Department in opposition to the proposed arms control regulations by going here. Simply cut-n-paste the text below into the online comment box. And if you wish to read the State Department regulations, you can do so here.

---- Pre-written letter to the Department of State ----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Daniel Richmond

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hjj8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4772 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Golob

Address:

4810 Lytham Court Lothian, MD, 20711

Email: lonestar 0@hotmail.com

Phone: 4109568200

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-thhj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4773 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rodger Bird

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-rudv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4774 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9jnk Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4775 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by any administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties for mere exercising of 1st amendment rights.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

These regulations would restrict both the First and Second Amendments and are, as such, unconstitutional.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-3esv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4776 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kip Wells

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely - Kip Wells

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-6nk5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4777 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dave Isom

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-1016 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4779 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: DAVID RAYNARD

Address:

5622 W. ALAMEDA RD. GLEDALE, AZ, 85310

Email: GARMR13@GMAIL.COM

Phone: 6028825881

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-b334 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4780 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hezf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4781 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremy Gauuruder

Address:

7843 N Oakwood Dr Eagle Mountain, UT, 84005 **Email:** jgauruder@gmail.com

Phone: 2086401737

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7202 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4782 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Layton Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-u2jl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4783 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Capron Illinois

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-v0w6 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4784 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike MacDonald

Address:

2447 W. Acoma Dr Phoenix, AZ, 85023

Email: mikermac2011@gmail.com

Phone: 4806340280

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9tsw **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4785 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard L. Anderson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ycs7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4786 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-rgcl **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4788 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: jackie sampsel

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-md3j Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4789 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Lopez

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Regards,

Jonathan Lopez

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hwf5

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4790 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Highley

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-utqs **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4791 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Burroughs

Address:

10840 PONDEROSA TIMBERS DR

CONROE, TX, 77385

Email: wburroughs@consolidated.net

Phone: 281-363-1967

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015
Tracking No. 1iz-8idy-3

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-358y **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4792 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tyson Richmond

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-d3sw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4793 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Glenn McCrea

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ywau Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4794 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-kh4b **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4795 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Janet Bell

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-mopn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4796 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ROBERT REID

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-aan0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4797 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Gossett

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yy88 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4798 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4dfo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4799 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yldo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4800 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shawn Galloway

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9gf9 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4801 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tyler Leishman

Address:

5975 SE Lois Street Hillsboro, OR, 97123

Email: tytheherbguy@hotmail.com

Phone: 503-781-5623

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the current administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Please respect all of the rights of the United States citizens, including the right of free speeach and the right to keep and to bear arms.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-kpv6 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4802 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Bruce

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7i6m Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4803 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Harry Dursema

Address:

PO Box 189 Arivaca, AZ, 85601

Email: 1965mgmidget@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-6r9x Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4805 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Blaski

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Robert Blaski

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yzmj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4806 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Carol Zimmerman Address:

3305 Hutchinson Road Cumming, GA, 30040

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

This is the United States of America and we are to be the land of the free. Start following the Amendments for America and quit trying to put your own private agendas through and forcing them down all American peoples throats! This is wrong and you know it!! The writers of our great Amendments knew what they were doing so, follow their leadership as it was the greatest ever!! Your leadership is woefully lacking and you are working for yourself and not our Country. Please wake up and follow the will of the people who live here! The people are speaking and your are ignoring them. Listen to the people!! AGAIN, THE FIRST AND SECOND AMENDMENTS ARE THE LAW OF THE LAND!!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-n317 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4807 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Young

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-huka **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4808 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nathan Swan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-3eje Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4809 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: daniel Brunette

Address:

540 E 111th Pl

Northglenn, CO, 80233 **Email:** dbrunette@generalair.com

Phone: 3363142208

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-26bu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4810 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Laurence Little

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-nqep Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4811 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Cliff Address:

5191 W. Kesler Lane Chandler, AZ, 85226 Email: wigach@sbcglobal.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-krab Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4812 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ryan Nahapetian

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-yl7s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4813 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: R. Creel

General Comment

Dear Sirs:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-o20i Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4814 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Brown

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Robert Brown

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gte0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4815 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Concerned Citizen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-a1yz **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4816 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Youse Address:

140 Cherry Tree Lane Cherry Hill, NJ, 08002 **Email:** jimyouse@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-770x Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4817 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Ward

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4wnc **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4818 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-96mu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4819 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joshua Cleveland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Joshua Cleveland

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-84de **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4820 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Griffin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9axx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4821 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-p247 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4822 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ng5z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4823 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jon Grandstaff

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

This is a First Amendment issue, and regardless of what you might think, we aren't living in China, where common knowledge and technical information such as firearms info, or any other technical data, is controlled.

These new regulations seek to infringe upon the 1st Amendment, and that is a very dangerous thing.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7ysj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4824 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Susan Wojtkiewicz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-tc5n Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4825 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Young

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-sfp9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4826 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bill Wilson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4ish Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4827 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Salema

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-in4n Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4828 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Frederik den Hartog

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ywc3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4829 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Morgan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Steve Morgan

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-azoi Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4830 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-pw6e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4831 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tom Earsing

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-rl05 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4832 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-m4r8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4833 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Margol

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-mgvz Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4834 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Bermudez

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-m2f5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4835 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Max Munoz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ovcw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4836 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Carol Ehlers

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Carol Ehlers

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-38nb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4837 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chuck Townsend

Address:
PO Box 681
Calera, OK, 74730

Email: ctnwtf@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-vpa3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4838 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-p1yq **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4839 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jed Hendrickson

Address:

141 La Vista Grande Santa Barbara, CA, 93103

Email: jedh@cox.net Phone: jedh@cox.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-le8h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4840 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Address:

824 N 6th Ave

Chatsworth, GA, 30705

Email: offset.pressman@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hy6p Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4841 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: BEN GEDZYK

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-8oeh Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4842 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Duran

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Joseph N. Duran

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-e0kc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4843 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qtcc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4845 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: david cole

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-is6v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4846 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Perry Conrad

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7jkb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4847 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Karl Leffler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Karl Leffler Portland, OR

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hv4h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4848 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Kovaleski

Address:

100B Moore Rd Lake Ariel, PA, 18436

Email: davekovaleski@yahoo.com

Phone: 570-241-5893

General Comment

Hello:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qmuh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4849 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frederick Ehlers

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Frederick J Ehlers

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-44z5 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4850 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Sawyer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-f24p **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4851 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7mwm **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4852 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roberto Gouveia

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Roberto Gouveia

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-jg02 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4853 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jared Wallis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7b3m **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4854 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kathy O'Donnell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I totally oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-1tev Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4855 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patrick OConnor

Address:

1677 Independence Ct 1677 Independence CT Henrico, 23238

Email: poconnor@visi.com Phone: 804-297-2316

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-rtey **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4856 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Curtice Thompson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Curtice Thompson USN(Ret)

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-b0zx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4857 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ernie Velas

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-agnu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4858 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Allan Segal

Address:

17 Lindsay Dr.

Beaver Falls, PA, 15010 Email: alseg@aol.com Phone: 4128898202

General Comment

To the State Department in charge of ITAR:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

Rest assured, I am NOT a "gun nut" nor militia member. In fact, I am a board certified surgeon.

Action such as this violates First amendment rights.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-uye7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4859 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John A.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-zpml Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4862 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: CHARLES SMITH

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-mr9a Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4863 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Maynard Altizer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2f46 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4864 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Claude Villar

Address:

8355 Mangrove Drive Cordova, TN, 38016

Email: cvillar@communitymtg.com

Phone: 901-756-0788

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ryj9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4865 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-meec Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4866 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Doug Reynolds

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hpab

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4867 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Norman Hesse

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-i9bm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4868 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-uagl **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4869 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Helfrich

Address: PO Box 341

Vaughn, MT, 59487 **Email:** jimhelfrich@hughes.net

Phone: 406 964 8822

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-sq92 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4870 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Hudson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Chris Hudson

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-jcux Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4872 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Hayes Parten

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-uhmr **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4873 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thanks,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-mssi **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4874 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matt van Wersch

Address:

PO BOX 104

ENUMCLAW, WA, 98022

Email: matvan@mac.com Phone: 360 802 4735

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-i8pf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4875 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne Hennacy

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-zoz4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4876 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Neil Schultheiss

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-pm45 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4877 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Henninger

Address:

345 Old Morris Rd Harleysville, PA, 19438 **Email:** pehlltsol@verizon.net

Phone: 717-371-6483

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-v7y4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4878 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

bgf

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gx1n Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4879 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sam Patello

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-729t **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4880 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dave Farrell

Address:

3245 Rhapsody Lane New Franklin, OH, 44216 **Email:** pointers01@hotmail.com

Phone: 3308258235

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2dvj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4881 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wasey Ryder

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-5cji Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4882 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jennifer Henderson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-y4si **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015 **Submission Type:** Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4883 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Brown

Address:

508 Birchwood Ct Raymore, MO, 64083 **Email:** rgbrown508@yahoo.com

Phone: 8163312151

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-x6o0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4884 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ted Martino Jr

Address:

446 E Street Springfield, OR, 97477

Email: tmartinojr@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-m8ef **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4885 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-1t4x Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4886 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: W Gombert

General Comment

Good Afternoon:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-hjl9 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4887 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Carson

Address:

38 Patricia Drive Greensburg, PA, 15601 **Email:** mcarson.pop@gmail.com

Phone: 4123269065

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7fsg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4888 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Adam Barrett

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9gto **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4889 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Olga ILINA

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ctfs
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4890 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Ramey

Address:

2847 county raos G glenwood city, WI, 54013

Email: wg0g@arrl.net Phone: 6127471244

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4jft Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4891 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Hodge

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4r69 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4892 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Don Krause

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-lyee **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4893 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: wayne smyly

Address:

3312 harvey lane milton, FL, 32571 **Email:** Namvet049@aol.com

Phone: 850 994 1443

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-h1c3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4894 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Moles

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-8547 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4895 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Harry Rose

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-1nc6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4896 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Swiss

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-osn0 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4897 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: joe pugliese

Address:

36 buena vista dr. plantsville, 06479

Email: jaypug06791@hotmail.com

Phone: 860-426-0766

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-d4ee **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4898 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne Bulvan

Address:

21260 Prince Lkae Dr Crest Hill, IL, 60403-1513

Email: sammiesam0850@sbcglobal.net

Phone: 815-577-9878

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-fi9r **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4899 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dave Kostic

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-spqm **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4900 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Adams

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4cun Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4901 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: rick kane

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-350b **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4902 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dustin Curtis

Address:

616 Helen Ave. Modesto, CA, 95354 **Email:** dctrucker@gmail.com

Phone: 12097655211

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gpuk **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4903 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-zp3l **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4904 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-tl4z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4905 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Garrison Clarke

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-802y Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4906 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: MARK NICHOLS

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ll2w Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4907 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Andrew Mathias

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-riep Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4908 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Benson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-zdx0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4909 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-wpgj

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4910 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lenvil King

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Lenvil King

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ruui Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4911 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Haselhoff

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Haselhoff

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-h7fu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4913 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-z6l0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4914 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-nbjm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4915 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roger Aureli

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ymwl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4916 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Judson Dosher

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9cyq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4917 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control firearms-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-vyo7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4918 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-aium **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4919 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Hazeltine

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-p6o2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4920 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Randall Strickland

Address:

203 Imogene Rd Lambsburg, 24351

Email: randallstrickland@yahoo.com

Phone: 2767553077

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-cgr5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4921 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: adam rojas

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Adam Rojas

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-addt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4922 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: dave brown

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. They are clearly contrary to both the spirit and the letter of the First and Second Amendments as tehy abirdge free speech and infringe on keeping and bearing arms.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-3lno Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4923 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Clifton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-harb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4924 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-h8ls Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4925 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patrick Callahan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-t59w **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4926 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Lindquist

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ebxp **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4927 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bernie Rulle, Msgt USAF (Ret.)

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-vl27 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4928 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brandon Enea

Address:

332 Cedar Dr

Columbus, MS, 39705 **Email:** brandon.enea@gmail.com

Phone: 6623524966

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-6sv0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4929 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kay Sheil Address:

237 Alma Drive Highland, AR, 72542 **Email:** mksheil@centurytel.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-pfqi **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4930 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-vrta Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4931 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-fslr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4932 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kyle Heaton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-tcpq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4933 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Darrold Sisler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-0q31 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4934 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Cattani

Address:

11485 La Garita Pass Littleton, CO, 80127

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ethq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4935 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kirk Jess Address:

3006 W Via De Pedro Miguel Phoenix, AZ, 85086 **Email:** jesscadd@gmail.com

Phone: 6232428862

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2smt Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4936 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: kenneth beveridge

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Kenny Beveridge

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-e387 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4937 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: J.E. Baker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, J.E.Baker

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-usk4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4938 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Schleiger

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-r5op Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4939 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Martin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

James Martin

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-krla **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4940 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Hughes

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-iyh5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4941 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Brumbles

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Chris Brumbles

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 12, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-bizx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and **Related Definitions**

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and **Related Definitions**

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4942 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dwayne Rich

Address:

98 bass hound cir Fuguay Varina, NC, Email: Drichnews@gmail.com

Phone: 9199066146

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-e41x Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4944 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jon Winters

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jon Winters

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-3y28 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4945 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Taylor

Address:

155 NE 192nd Ave Portland, OR,

Email: eric.cbrn@gmail.com Phone: 503-473-9095

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Eric J. Taylor

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-zlh5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4946 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Toczek

Address: GA,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-4iiw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4947 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Hicks

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-8atk Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4948 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Arthur Cameron

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-kexu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4949 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Parks Morgan

Address:

2457 Cameron Mill Rd La Grange, GA, 30240 **Email:** phm2457@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and

Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Parks Morgan

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-xelh **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4950 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Evy Ganfield

General Comment

To Whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. It would also become another source of revenue, another way to re-order this country away from its intended vision.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land! I have remained silent, believing my elected officials would speak for me and uphold my rights. Now I speak, and I ask you to do your job.

Sincerely, Evy Ganfield

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-qp8m **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4951 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-fijh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4952 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: American Citizen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-apv4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4953 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dean Van Alstyne

Address: PO Box 537 Lula, GA, 30554

Email: dvanalstyne0@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-or5u **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4954 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face

significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-n4xr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4955 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Gommo

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Paul Gommo

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-a0ub **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4956 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: RICHARD JACOBS

Address:

9028 W. PALM LANE PHOENIX, AZ, 85037 **Email:** 1945carguy@gmail.com

Phone: 480-356-1662

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could grant the State Department the power to control all speech on the Internet!

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-bfmt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4957 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sean Gillen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ffg7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4958 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Neal Jordan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 15, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-oatt

Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4959 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gene Cothron

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments have been The Law of the Land for over 223 years. Stop trying to change that!

Sincerely,

Gene Cothron

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-xqwa **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4960 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ddpb Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4961 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ryan Reeves

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Ryan Reeves

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-h469 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4962 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian G

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Brian G

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-xi1v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4963 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Rahto

Address:

2 Luther Street
Madrid, IA, 50156
Email: tim.rahto@gmail.com
Phone: 443,303,4284

Phone: 443-392-4284

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-124g Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4965 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Darby

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-1w1j Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4966 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Shawn Horan

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-9l7o Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4967 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jamison Tyler Rucks

Address:

P.O. Box 1091 391 Willow Dr.

McQueeney, TX, 78123 **Email:** jamison.rucks@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State

Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which

includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates

this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or

ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a

government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the

word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-chp6 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4968 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Craig Schmidt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-jya4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4969 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dan Doney

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-ezw0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4970 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Allan Dredge

Address:

9370 Red Baron Blvd Reno, NV, 89506

Email: allandredge@hotmail.com

Phone: (775) 384-1440

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-gr4w Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4971 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: dan slater

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, dan slater

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-wxsh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4972 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Melvin Carner

Address:

10012 SW Conestoga Dr #146

Beaverton, 97008

Email: carnermr@gmail.com

Phone: 5033525413

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-m16h **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4973 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Monte Russell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-si0p Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4974 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-loer Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4975 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Andrew Bowers

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

An American who values the Constitution above all else.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-7aa8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4976 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Simon Gilliland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-m4en **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4977 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jose Gamez

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-p6sy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4978 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shane Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-k7gy **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4979 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Irwin Schaefer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-1rho **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4980 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jon Valentine

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-2eoj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4981 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Silver Wings

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-a3xt Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-4982 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremy Swanson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jeremy Swanson United States Citizen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jdp-a5nn **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5035 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jay Morgan

Address:

Atlanta, GA,

General Comment

There is a lot of speculation in the press regarding this proposed rule. Due to the significant technical language (which I would consider obfuscatory), it is difficult to determine what would be restricted under this rule. I think clarification in normal language should be provided to make it clear what is being restricted from dissemination. If technical specifications or plans are created under direct contract with the government on a classified project, then I agree that those plans or specifications should be restricted from dissemination, but should be obviously classified as such. However, if a person or company provides details on a product they designed independently, or makes commentary or reviews of products that are available for public sale legally in the US, that data should not be restricted. Any restriction of such activity would be an abridgment of their first amendment rights. For example, the 3D printing plans for creating a gun by Defense Distributed should not be restricted by ITAR. These plans were not created under government contract for a classified project, and were independently developed. Restriction of dissemination of this material is an offense to the creators' first amendment rights. Furthermore, it ridiculous to think that with today's technology, you can stop dissemination of technical details of publicly available products. This includes plans of weapons available on the free market, detailed reviews of such items, encryption software that is available on the free market, or any other item that can be freely purchased in the U.S.

I certainly hope that this administration is not trying to use this proposed rule to restrict peoples' first amendment rights.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 11, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jd5-hf2v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5182 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Aaron Hankins

Address:

2125 Pitney Road Parkville, MD, 21234 **Email:** udaaronha@gmail.com

General Comment

I understand that "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances." That does not however make it okay for a cabinet level department in charge of "international" relations to subject the citizens of the United States to an interest balancing test for exercise of their liberty.

While I am sure there are smarter people than myself that can tell me all the foreign relation issues that might arise from talking about firearms, ammunition, or distributing 3d printer files online, those possibilities pale in comparison to the impact I would feel with the muzzling of free speech. Perhaps, the state department should focus on fixing their mission disasters such as Benghazi, Fast and Furious, Russia, and their current handling of ISIS before they attempt to become the internet speech police. These proposed regulations, just like the attempt at regulating ammunition, is a deplorable abuse of the powers that come with the Office of the President. Worse, we are conceding freedom long fought for and enshrined to people that don't even pay taxes here!

My simple answer to your proposed regulation for ITAR is; this is garbage and you know it. Thus, if you enact these regulations, I will NOT personally comply in any instance where you abridge the compact made between this country and her citizens with respect to the liberty protected by the Bill of Rights; in this instance BOTH the 1st and the 2nd amendments.

In conclusion: I am opposed to these regulations.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 17, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jh1-zg5h
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5214 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael McGrath

Address:

3015 NC 210 S Lillington, 27546

Email: macthemic@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Michael McGrath

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh0-6sqd **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5220 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: K Thompson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh0-nkn0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5221 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dennis Smith

Address:

1752 Arlin Pl. Apt.C Fairborn, OH, 45324 Email: de-smith@woh.rr.com

Phone: 9376570317

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh0-yoqs Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5222 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Concerned Citizen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Sincerely, Concerned Citizen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh0-i5qx **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5223 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Grim

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Richard D. Grim

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh0-3msh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5226 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jaime Martinez

Address:

Alexandria, VA,

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgz-g97b **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5227 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Brown

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgz-j2qu Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5229 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Freeman Address: 1 Pearl St Akron, NY, 14001

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgz-5mxg **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5230 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shane Snedecor

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Thank you,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgz-rq2g Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5232 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Leaf

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgy-hk60 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5234 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anthony Slatcoff

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgy-ezgg **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5236 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgw-w54b Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5241 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments ITAR policy, which could potentially grant the State Department an over reaching power to monitor and control gun-related content on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. This is a blatant violation of the first amendments freedom of press.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgw-ghx2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5243 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Wall

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgv-mbh0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5246 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Colin Gallagher

General Comment

To Whom It May Concern: I understand that you are accepting comments on this proposed rule (on International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions) until August 3, 2015. As such, I am submitting my below comments for your review, consideration, and inclusion in the record.

1) As a general remark, your rule should be revised so that it does not have the effect of "censoring the maker." The maker culture in America long predates the use of electronic transmission and storage of technical data and 3-D printers which tend to get media attention. Specifically, it has been long-standing legal precedent in the United States that if a person makes a weapon in their home for their own purpose, the receiver that the person makes (and the weapon that the person finishes) need not be serialized or registered and it is legal insofar as it it is not transferred to another person (no sale to another person nor any sort of transfer to another). From a civilian use standpoint, to make a weapon in this context (in the recent past), this is often done beginning with an "eighty percenter" kit which does not involve electronic transmission and storage of technical data in the process, but in the case of use of 3-D printers, which involve printing out something from scratch rather than ordering a kit, would necessarily involve "electronic transmission and storage of technical data." The 3-D printers are a wave of the future - that's where it's all going, you simply can't stop this stuff - and it is a reactionary move to "censor the maker" and attempt to restrain the maker's use of his or her tools. Better you just deal with it and learn how to integrate them into a "permissionless innovation" process, as the US government is already clamoring to increase the use of 3-D printing technology anyway, and hindering it isn't going to help.

- 2) While anyone can "run plans" to accomplish the finishing from an eighty-percent complete receiver to one hundred percent complete with a simple jig that can can be bought online from any one of a number of manufacturers (and finished off with a router or drill press ~ and do not involve electronic transmission and storage of technical data), there are vital elements which make it important that you should not create legal obstacles for people to do the same thing from a 3-D printer with electronic plans (which involve electronic transmission and storage of technical data). One of them is simple, you want to maintain permissionless innovation. number two, you want to ensure that the constitutional right to keep and bear arms is not infringed and that people remain able to use modern technology to build (as they have in the past, but using the technology of today) a weapon for personal use in their home, without regulatory restraint, and three, the infeasibility and utter ridiculousness of exposing people (a lot of people!) to a gigantic new and serious proposed set of penalties which correspond to any violations of this rule were the proposed rule to become final, is, as I've mentioned, just ridiculous. None of what is being proposed is worth pursuing people over or putting anyone in jail over. Period.
- 3) As part of all this, the proposed rule suggests that the current text of 120.6 be made into a new paragraph (a), into which software would be added to the list of things that are a defense article because software is being removed from the definition of technical data. I profoundly disagree with the categorization of software as a "defense article" and the militarization of the notion and concept of software. Similarly, I am opposed to the Department's proposal to revise the definition of technical data in ITAR 120.10 to "update and clarify the scope of information that may be captured within the definition" (...) defin(ing) technical data as information required for the development, production, operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of a defense article, which harmonizes with the definition of technology in the EAR and the Wassenaar Arrangement." I am opposed to this portrayal of software as proposed. The proposed rule also suggests, "Paragraph (a)(5) of the revised definition defines technical data as also including information to access secured technical data in clear text, such as decryption keys, passwords, or network access codes." This is completely unnecessary and I oppose such a revised definition. Finally, the revised definition of the public domain is unacceptable as it places far too much authority in the hands of the US government regarding software and what would be considered technical data.

In sum, I suggest that this proposed rule be scrapped entirely. It offends the heart and mind. Retract it in full and start over completely.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgu-kou4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5249 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Samuel Snow

Address:

17948 A Road 232 Poterville, CA, 93257 **Email:** snow3819@sbcglobal.net

Phone: 559-784-8370

General Comment

To whom it may concern: I strongly oppose the the rewrite of The State Department arms control regulations (ITAR), Which could potentially grant the Obama Administration to much power over free sp

The definitions of what gun-related information cannot be "exported" without the approval of the State Department are already very broad.

But what is missing is a broad definition of "export" that would actually allow the Obama administration to move and take advantage of this regulatory infrastructure.

And what these regulations would do is define "export" to include potentially any gun-related communication on the internet or social media.

With this final keystone in place, the Obama Administration would have the regulatory framework to comprehensively regulate gun-related speech in cyberspace, to whatever extent it desires.

Changing Definitions

The definition of what communication is an "Export" that triggers the requirement of the State

Department approval is expanded dramatically in these regs.

The term "export" would be expanded "making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the internet)" This is wholly new language.

NOTE, that anyone who did this could face could face decades in prison and massive Fines.

NOTE, further, what this provision does and does not say. It does not require that technical date be made available to the public for illegality to occur. It applies to all private communications, so long as the "network" (e.g., the internet) is, in general, publicly available.

Thus, any electronic communication concerning gun-related "technical data" would potentially subject the sender to these significant criminal penalties.

That said, it is alarming enough that the obvious of this paragraph is to give the Obama administration authority to comprehensively regulate gun-related speech on the internet.

So the next question is: Given that the proposed regulations would more clearly grant the Obama Administration the power to regulate gun-related speech on the internet, how broad would its mandate be?

The answer is that, based, in part, on preexisting definitions, that the power would be very broad indeed

Broadening the Concept of Gun-Related Speech to be Regulated.

The definition of "technical date" which would Regulated

The definition of "technical data" which would require government permission in order to be posted on the Internet, in part:

i could go on with technical of this International Traffic in Arms and Definitions of Defense services.

The new language-which includes making technical data avail via a publicly available network(e.g.,the internet)

could put anyone violates this provision danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

i also oppose the addition of the word solfware into these regulations, as appears to be a not-so-veiled to ban

3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulation in their entirety,

Whether you know it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the LAW OF THE LAND !!!!!!!!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgu-38mf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5251 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: charles casebeer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgs-wkre Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5260 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brandon Ware

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Thank you,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgs-v3co Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5262 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Pat Requena

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgr-ihvw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5271 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jerome Sayler

Address:

421 5th Street South Wishek, ND, 58495

Email: jsayler1955@yahoo.com

Phone: 7014522266

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jerome Sayler

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgr-vlff Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5277 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fredric Pravato

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely.

Fredric C. Pravato

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgq-9c60 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5280 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nick Targansky Address: 25068 catkin st Corona, CA, 92883

Email: Nickx81@aim.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgq-qbw8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5283 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Devin Stargel

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgq-az2q Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5287 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Littleton

Address:

442 South State #105 Clearfield, UT, 84015

Email: littletongeorge@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgp-h2so **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5294 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: W Chiskers

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgp-aou5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5295 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: DANNY THOMAS

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Danny Thomas Mn Voter

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 17, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jgp-8p8o
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5297 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phillip Cardon

Address:

1341 Bishop Road Saline, MI, 48176

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Phil Cardon

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgp-vvup Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5298 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mark

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgp-ci1x Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5307 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ted Gersztyn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgp-qmpy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5309 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Solin

Address:

5106 Gold Crest Ct. Austin, TX, 78730 Email: david@solin.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by an unelected rule-maker as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

-- David Solin, Austin TX

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgp-6dis **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5310 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jerry Beilgard

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgp-6oy9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5312 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgo-pdxo **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5314 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgo-e0ao Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5326 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Thank you,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgo-eszg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5329 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgo-6hxz Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5331 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgn-2s7h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5337 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Seth Whiting

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgn-e8id Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5344 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Russell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mark Russell

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgn-qxig Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5345 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Stewart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

I will not sit idly by and watch this happen.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 17, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jgm-a25r
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5356 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bradley Thompson

Address:

122 South Elm Drive Beverly Hills, CA, 90212

Email: hbthompson@roadrunner.com

Phone: 310-486-6372

General Comment

To the State Department:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

Posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by any administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it could be stretched to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety to stay within the spirit and letter of the First and Second Amendments to the US Constitution.

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgm-p001 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5359 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Fredericks

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgm-ggs0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5372 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cheri Scott

Address:

79 Living Water Road Edgewood, NM, 87015 **Email:** cscott709@yahoo.com

Phone: 505 281-1840

General Comment

---- Pre-written letter to the Department of State ----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Cheri Scott

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgm-8k8s **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5373 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: RUSSELL SCHUCKERT

Address:

520 PAULINE CT

CUYAHOGA FALLS, OH, 44221

Email: gambit_1961@att.net

Phone: 330-929-8823

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Russell Schuckert

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgm-ora9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5377 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Harvey Pitcher

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgm-dsnv Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5383 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Mucci

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Ronald Charles Mucci Sr.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgm-xjhs Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5385 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremiah Rhoads

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land, and should be supported and adhered to!

Sincerely, Jeremiah Rhoads

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgm-bq4n Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5392 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgm-8u7n **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5393 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Carmine Aile

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be

defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgl-10vi **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5404 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be

defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgl-3br8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5407 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lloyd Hofer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Lloyd Hofer

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgl-gvz9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5413 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ralph Cheney

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Ralph Cheney

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgl-2zv8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5416 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Eric

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgl-vsih Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5418 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Lugo

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Michael Lugo

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgk-onux Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5438 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Lemon Address: United States,

Email: lemonrw@earthlink.net

Phone: 360-263-3094

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgk-b0by Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5444 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Thomas

General Comment

While I believe this regulation is intended to target those who are endeavoring to build their own firearms, e.g. 3D printing and the like, a subject that in and of itself is a topic of debate, it would appear that this proposal also would preclude members within legal gun clubs from sharing information to help others maintain and legally modify their personal firearms.

I would like to ask that this be considered carefully as this proposal appears to target Americans who wish to legally share firearm knowledge with other like minded individuals in various electronic forms.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgk-k4x3 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5445 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brad Lewis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgk-xbxu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5447 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott MacDonald

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Scott MacDonald

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgk-9gcf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5455 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Cunningham

Address:

3775 Bellingham Dr. Reno, NV, 89511 **Email:** mike702@ymail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mike Cunningham

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgk-v481 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5458 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgj-7occ Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5463 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

JD

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgj-vf95 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5465 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgj-t9r8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5466 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgj-umw6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5467 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, David Combs

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgj-7pvt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5473 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: michael orth

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgj-ua0b Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5480 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Ridenhour

Address:

412 Forest Run Drive Eureka, MO, 63025

Email: mach1mustang@sbcglobal.net

Phone: 6366928689

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgj-gaql Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5484 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgi-6ul0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5497 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Johnson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

Last time I checked, this was the United States of America and NOT Nazi Germany, we have the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution that gives US the freedom to bear arms. The First Amendment also grants US the right of FREE SPEECH, which this UNCONSTITUTIONAL attempt VIOLATES!

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Thomas Johnson

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgi-9x5k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5500 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Huddleston

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgi-ojgc **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5507 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: TERRY D.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Dr. Terry D. and Family
Louisiana

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgi-a5nd Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5510 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgi-rlp9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5511 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: william travis

Address:

503 exeter ct hampton, VA, 23666

Email: dubtravis@hotmail.com

Phone: 7578252443

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgi-ovo3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5512 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Pitts

Address:

9180 Welch Court Jonesboro, GA, 30238 **Email:** gcp611@bellsouth.net

Phone: 7704781723

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgi-leg5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5513 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jgi-wwaq

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5516 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Brown Address:

202 Mulberry Place Douglassville, PA, 19518 Email: cwb7375@aol.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgh-5bdo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5519 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frederick Everitt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgh-cm56 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5520 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: anonymous anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Thank you,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgh-a9nn Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5521 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard DeSpain

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Richard DeSpain

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgh-65ww Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5522 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joe Leary

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgh-ubez **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5524 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Todd Brower

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgh-s8cn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5526 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fred Kelly

Address:

14644 Stillwell Rd Chardon, OH, 44024 **Email:** hdrider80@hotmail.com

Phone: 440-635-0742

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgh-4v6c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5527 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne Wells

Address:

3279 Espada

New Braunfels, TX, 78132

Email: survtex@att.net Phone: 530-713-6615

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Wayne Wells

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgh-1ula Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5528 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ernest Purcell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the Proposed rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging authority and power, to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

The language is so broad that it could potentially include virtually any gun-related communication of a functional how to nature.

In other words, electronically posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license.

And again, violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgh-i83i Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5529 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lisa Allen

General Comment

To the Government of the United States...

MY Government as a United States, Law Abiding Citizen. I am using my voice, my right, to state that I strongly oppose the rewrite or clarification of the rules relating to your overhaul of the ITAR in regard to the AECA.

While WE THE PEOPLE do expect our ELECTED GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS to ensure our safety and the safety of our citizens, country, information, etc. IT SHALL NOT BE AT THE EXPENSE OF OUR RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS AS AMERICAN CITIZENS as outlined in the CONSTITUTION and BILL OF RIGHTS.

Specifically, the proposed new definition of defense article, the addition of the word software, the ban on 3-D Printed Gun Files Online are all violations of our RIGHT to FREEDOM of SPEECH. There are ways to ensure our safety WITHOUT taking away the RIGHTS of American Citizens.

Additionally, the proposal that WE THE PEOPLE must apply for, wait, receive APPROVAL (to exercise our already provided for RIGHT of Freedom of Speech, and then pay excessive fees to do so is a violation of our FIRST, SECOND and EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS. Our Country was founded on these principles and WE will NOT see them stripped away one by one.

Congress shall make no law abridging the freedom of speech, or the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances. First Amendment

This Amendment guarantees our right to express and to be exposed to a wide range of opinions and views. It was intended to ensure a free exchange of ideas EVEN if the ideas are unpopular, or if our Government does not bless it. It also guarantees our right to physically gather with a group of people to picket or protest; or associate with others in groups for economic, political or religious purposes. Additionally, it guarantees our right to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed. Second Amendment

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted. Eighth Amendment

This Amendment protects WE THE PEOPLE from having bail or fines set at an amount so high that it would be impossible for all but the richest defendants to pay and also protects people from being subjected to cruel and unusual punishment.

Thank you for your time and attention.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgg-jp2e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5537 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fred Thebert

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgg-a2b8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5540 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Cerny

Address:

5805 Comstock Ave Baltimore, MD, 21206 Email: vrefb@aol.com Phone: 443 418-0612

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgg-fbs5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5544 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama

administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgg-7rt6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5551 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: martin Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgg-y3c8 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5552 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), and I oppose your attempt to control gun-related speech on the Internet.

I oppose your attempts to put anyone using their first amendment rights who violates this provision in danger of facing criminal charges with penalties of decades in prison and massive fines.

Posting of information on firearm or ammunition in accordance with past practice shall not be infringed upon. The Obama administration is changing law circumventing Congress and should be impeached.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety as this is a blatant attack on US first and second amendment rights.

Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgg-z2f2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5553 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgg-cvfc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5556 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patrick Whalen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgg-ek1b **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5557 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Shoenberger

Address:

144 Pacer Drive Henrietta, NY, 14467

Email: shoenberger_knives@yahoo.com

Phone: 5854063410

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgf-ejpg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5566 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremy Ingram

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jeremy Ingram

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgf-4jsq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5568 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Derek Vasselin

Address: SC,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually

any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgf-x8fo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5574 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jane Pullis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgf-7dlt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5576 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jge-0900 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5577 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Adam Lonnberg

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mr. Adam Lonnberg

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jge-ael6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5578 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Henry

Address:

168 Grant City Drive Sikeston, MO, 63801-7149 Email: wa0wik@gmail.com

Phone: 573-472-2916

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jge-q8k9 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5579 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jge-mjbb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5580 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Adam Gifford

Address:

1616 Peppers Ferry Rd. Pulaski, VA, 24301 **Email:** afgiff4d@gmail.com

Phone: 5402503868

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jge-nehp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5581 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgd-2yvl **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5582 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Palucci

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgd-xdyb **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5586 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jareth Thomas

General Comment

ITAR AmendmentRevisions to Definitions; Data Transmission and Storage

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgd-9i3p **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5587 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Terry Redcay

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgc-2ynj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5589 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ron Seiden

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still law in the United States of America.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgc-q2kw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5590 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dan Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgc-er2s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5591 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Barkhurst

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgc-k6os **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5592 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce Clouser

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgc-418w Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5593 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremy Allen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgc-ly47 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5594 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Harold Shannon

Address:

14960 Collier Blvd #4118 Naples, FL, 34119

Email: captkenshannon@aol.com

Phone: 941-720-8265

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgb-sa1c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5595 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgb-72rp **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5596 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Ceglia

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgb-vzlt Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5598 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rob Maher Address:

4273 Black Hills Drive Sparks, NV, 89436

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jgb-8d9s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5599 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ryan Stewart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jgb-k4mo **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5600 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Drago

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jga-ue85 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5604 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chase Hicklin

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jga-imj4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5605 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Rouse

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thanks,

Tim Rouse

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jga-drg1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5606 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jga-qewj

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5607 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rodger Reed

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jga-c6sx **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5608 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Heiser

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Read the constitution!! It's time to stop the assault on our rights.

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg9-h7nq

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5611 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Robinette, Jr

Address:

106 Mist Lane Lot #2

Lexington, SC, 29073

Email: robinettec@midlandstech.edu

Phone: 803-667-0771

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg9-7o1s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5612 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mr. Munoz

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jg9-u05i

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5613 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg9-o78h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5616 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Thompson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

William Thompson

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg9-lb5r Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5617 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg8-1ou8 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5620 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Skylar Tafoya

Address:

4836 Allesandro St Chino, CA, 91710

Email: skylars1994@hotmail.com

Phone: 909 662 5082

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Skylar Tafoya.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg6-e2cj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5623 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Spencer Strickland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg6-vm80 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5624 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Howard Heminger

Address:

323 Snowmoody Way Ontario, OR, 97914 Email: bkplus@q.com Phone: 541-889-7528

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg6-zd03 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5625 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jacob Cary

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg5-hy68 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5626 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: frank woodall

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg5-xhbp **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5627 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bobby Ratliff

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. If this information does nothing to harm National Security of The United States of America, then it should not and cannot Constitutionally be prohibited!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg5-ywyb **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5629 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Scarboro

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg4-u3vl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5634 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Wilkinson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land! Censorship of any material would inhibit the Public's freedom!

Sincerely,

Mark Wilkinson

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 16, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg4-1u1s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5635 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Leslie Bentley

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg3-2w9r **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5644 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Gallegos

Address:

4631 Hampshire Way Fremont, CA, 94538-3325 **Email:** larry@larrygallegos.com

Phone: 5106231550

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Larry Gallegos

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg3-imtj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5645 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

David K

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg2-ar9g Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5651 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bismarck Flores

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg2-n81i **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5652 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: A. Morphis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg2-hm8f Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5654 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Darren Ryland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Darren Ryland

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg2-bvsd Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5659 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Inman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg2-5lwm **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5662 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Hull

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, James Hull

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg2-8hy2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5664 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg1-i2pl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5667 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg1-pj71 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5672 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Randy Young

Address:

8949 Tyler Road Battle Ground, IN, 47920 Email: tclp@centurytel.net

Phone: 765-567-4300

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg1-5yk6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5675 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ryan Montoure

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Concerned citizen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg1-y1zb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5677 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Edwards

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

Why is the State Dept getting involved with firearms Regulations? The 1st Amendment allows for unfettered speech, even if you don't like it, that's not your call.

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

James H. Edwards, Jr.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg1-i078 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5680 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John E. Bartley, III

Address:

9330 SW Bailey St #21 Wilsonville, OR, 97070 **Email:** john.bartley@gmail.com

Phone: 5032278539

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

The rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet, is thoroughly unconstitutional, and I thoroughly oppose it.

Anyone who violates this regulatory provision is in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines, thanks to the new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet).

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers, which I see as a vital force to streamline our economy.

These new regulations must be repealed in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land, and there's no point in crippling our economy.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-x9yf Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5682 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Loper

Address:

1400 13th Ave NW Minot, ND, 58703 Email: loperg60@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Gary W Loper

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-dvau Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5683 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeanne Bilodeau

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-il1u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5684 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Organization: goa

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-2cxs Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5685 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chandlor Freeman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Chandlor Freeman

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-hgqp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5686 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: patrick spencer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-zlbm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5687 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-h5g3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5689 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be

defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-x0h7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5690 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Trout

Address:

11099 Roanoke River Court Rancho Cordova, CA, 95670 **Email:** fourtrout@sbcglobal.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-glp9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5691 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Shaffer

Address:

2211 E Arrowhead Dr. New Albany, IN, 47150 Email: jshaffer@msn.com Phone: 5027738046

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-vfff Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5692 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Judith Harrod

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-g364 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5694 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bradley Bassano

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 15, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-00oi Comments Due: August 03, 2015 **Submission Type:** Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and **Related Definitions**

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and **Related Definitions**

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5696 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Sotomayor

Address:

618 West 11th Avenue Hutchinson, KS, 67501 Email: virtualmike@cox.net

Phone: 620-665-0956

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jg0-ysv0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5698 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ben Messinger

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which would directly infringe the right to freedom of speech regarding gun-related content on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes requiring pre-approval before making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- is draconian and unacceptable. I will not subject my speech to governmental pre-approval.

Online posting of information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers, design software, and computer numerical control (CNC) technology.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Thank you,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-6tge Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5700 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Danny Steffens

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-lhz0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5702 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Johnson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jfz-kskv **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5703 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Brown

Address:

2017 Alta Ave Louisville, KY, 40205 **Email:** jbrown87ky@gmail.com

Phone: 502-265-6497

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-h4c3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5705 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frank Holguin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-lter Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5706 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremy King

Address:

1020 E 45TH ST APT 243 AUSTIN, TX, 78751

Email: jeremyking@utexas.edu

Phone: 2817442796

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

This yields posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. This is not my America. This is censorship, opening the door to oppression. In his incessant attacks on the Second Amendment, President Obama has now decided to attack the First alongside it, and this behavior is completely unacceptable. I encourage you to look at the nations of the world that censor speech on the internet - Russia, the People's

Republic of China, and North Korea to name a few - and ask yourself if they are the countries whose footsteps America should follow in.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a blatant effort to ban 3-D printers. I am an engineering student at the University of Texas at Austin, and while I am no expert I can assure you wholeheartedly that 3-D printing is the future of manufacturing, perhaps even a second Industrial Revolution. The aim of this attack on 3-D printing is no doubt rooted in the proliferation of CAD files for the Liberator, the printable pistol designed by Defense Distributed which plastered headlines in 2013. This is an incredibly shortsighted maneuver on the part of the Obama administration. Printable firearms are only one application of 3-D printing, and in the mean time I'm not sure they even can be; printable polymers simply lack the strength of the polymers used in mainstream firearm production. To the contrary, the most important application, in my opinion, is in fact the health industry. Here at UT Austin and all over the world 3-D printing technology is being used to make splints and casts of various types, models of patient's body parts taken from molds, and even prosthetic limbs. This progress is revolutionizing parts of the medical field, and needs the freedom to grow further. However, President Obama has taken it upon himself to pursue gun control with a blood lust, and has lost sight of the collateral damage he is causing with his numerous, questionably constitutional executive orders. Please do not allow him to place a stumbling block in the path of progress in the name of his political agenda.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still laws of the land, and our President has either forgotten this reality or he has no respect for it.

Sincerely, Jeremy King

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-yfam Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5707 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Wakefield

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-qexc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5709 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: T.Mike Curry

Address:

3552 East Winn Road Sturgeon, MO, 65284 Email: tmikecurry@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who could (rather easily) violate this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by President Obama's administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-9bvg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5710 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Vollmer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, David Vollmer

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-k3rb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5711 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Raymond Setters

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-j30i Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5713 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

Dear Sir or Madam:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-d1yt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5714 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anita Teschek

Address:

47 Turkey Hill Rd POB 990

Newport, NH, 03773 **Email:** anita@gsrs.com **Phone:** 603-863-1818

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfz-7u8v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5715 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dale Willett

Address:

1450 S Hollister Road Ovid, MI, 48866 Email: dale.willett@aol.com

Phone: 5177563301

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.	. Whether you like it or not,	the First and
Second Amendments are still the law of the land!		

Sincerely,

dale willett

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-5kxw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5717 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Sullivan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-hbnf Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5718 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Ponn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-kk5r Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5719 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: gary nau

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-g3lj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5722 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Alborn Address: United States, Email: alborn@earthlink.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Douglas H. Alborn

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-sg8f Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5723 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-84a2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5724 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan McNutt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-i2hh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5725 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Gross

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Status: Posted
Posted: June 17, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-3sgc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5726 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anthony McGaugh

Address:

13927 Woodforest Blvd Houston, TX, 77015 **Email:** legendsedge@aol.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Anthony McGaugh

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-acr5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5727 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John La Fever

Address:

103 Wayfair Overlook Drive Woodstock, GA, 30188 **Email:** jlafever@completesb.com

Phone: 6784013353

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-fttf

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5729 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: kenneth Lane

Address:
48 E 5th St
corning, NY, 14830
Email: lanekrl@gmail.com

Phone: 6979374348

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Category: NA

Submission Type: Web

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-j5vf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5730 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rocky Bensch Address: PO Box 392 147 Song Bird Trail Pickens, 29671

Email: rockybensch@gmail.com

Phone: 864-552-0399

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-y8t1 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5732 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cole Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-bsqc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5735 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Gabor

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-yb8r **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5737 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Nezzer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-osaz **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5739 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: jeff anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-v6cj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5740 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kerry Morris

Address:

2921 180th St. Belmond, IA, 50421 **Email:** kmorris620@gmail.com

Phone: 6414447317

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Kerry Morris

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfy-zae0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5742 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Navy Veteran

Viet Nam

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-xc54 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5743 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-8mk0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5744 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Harry Irrgang

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Harry Irrgang

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-fa4o Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5747 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Crouch

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-jikq Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5749 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bobby Klement

Address:

408 Cedar AVE Sultan, WA, 98294

Email: ncis.security@gmail.com

Phone: 3604907626

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-6zab Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5751 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ernest Lo

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-xqms **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5752 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Baker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-55mj **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5756 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rick Staal

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-awh5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5757 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Dropkin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially

grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the

Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as

requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would

potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort

to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second

Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-pj2r Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5758 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-kwn7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5760 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Nall

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfx-aizf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5761 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Evans

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. Please quit wasting my tax dollars trying to violate my constitutional rights. Work on something that actually matters, lets say a major foreign threat from radical Muslim group. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-13fs **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5762 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Barnard

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-21bz Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5763 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JERRY WELCH

Address:

2900 BANNOCK

LOVINGTON, NM, 88260 Email: MAWJRW@YAHOO.COM

Phone: 575-396-5758

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-07xi Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5764 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark krug

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mark Krug

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-t1di Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5765 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joel Schneider

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Joel Schneider 90278

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-adkp **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5766 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-ts4c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5767 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kenneth Golden

Address:

3 Bennett way

Newmarket, NH, 03857 **Email:** kgtuning@yahoo.com

Phone: 6032925430

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive

fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-rr0j Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5768 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So, posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also strongly oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-zr08 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5770 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Goss

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. These go directly against the First Amendment

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Status: Posted
Posted: June 17, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-wuh2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5771 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gabriel Weeks

Address:

37629 Phelps Rd Zephyrhills, FL, 33541 **Email:** gabeweeks@yahoo.com

Phone: 8137782815

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on THE INTERNET.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the INTERNET) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word SOFTWARE into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Gabriel Weeks

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-vjt7 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5773 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Baltazar Barron jr

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-pfxt **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5774 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Keeler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!
Sincerely,
Robert Keeler
GOA Media Clips
GOA's Larry Pratt on Fox & Friends
GOA on Armed American Radio
Obama's bullet grab sparks rebellion
Gun rights advancing despite Democrat push-back
GOA's State of the Union
God, guns & stupid anti-gun antics
The Horrendous Failure of Gun Control
More Videos
GOF-CFC

2015 - Gun Owners of America

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-na98 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5776 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David sanchez

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-unzy **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5777 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: E Dahl

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, E. Dahl

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-df6c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5778 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Austin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Robert Austin

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-xedm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5779 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Josh wilson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-8s1n Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5780 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Derek Armstrong

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Derek Armstrong

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-ph5z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5781 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-3uth Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5782 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Zerangue

Address:

104 Chicory Lane Lafayette, LA, 70506 **Email:** kzerangue@hotmail.com

Phone: 3375018730

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-7jjj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5783 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Hendershot

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Gary W Hendershot

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-k57h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

D------ ---4. DOS 2015 0022 5784

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5784 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Donald Hickman

Address:

211703 E Cochran Rd Kennewick, WA, 99337 Email: dhickman53@hotmail.com

Phone: (509)582-3874

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Donald Hickman

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-gf2s **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5785 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ernie Davidson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-alc9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5786 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Donald Borg

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Donald Borg

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-74wu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5787 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Will Alexander

Address: 352 Indiana

Berthoud, CO, 80513

General Comment

To whom it may concern, Senators, Congressmen, representatives:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which would grant the State Department vast over reaching power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. (ITAR is already an over reach of government intervention that has absolutely no use in defense of the country. It is a veiled political weapon only).

The new language, which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. This is a first amendment violation.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to immediately repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Thank you,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-3ded Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5788 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Vittoe

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Scott Vittoe

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-6tew **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5791 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Austin J Richards

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 17, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-hhpr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5792 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Danzey

Address:

8144 SVL Box

Victorville, CA, 92395 **Email:** rick@rickdanzey.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Richard E Danzey Jr

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfw-bat2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5793 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-qves **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5794 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-qxdf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5795 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kenneth Overton

Address:

599 Grovania Rd

Hawkinsville, GA, 31036 **Email:** kenoverton@windstream.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-de8r Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5796 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jeremy Miller

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-ktj9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5797 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-du0n Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5798 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Brommer

Address:

1215 S Shields St Fort Collins, CO, 80521 **Email:** dbrommer@generalair.com

Phone: 970-221-0697

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-zyza Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5799 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Corey Brinkman

Address:

4837 Vogel Dr. Toledo, OH, 43613 **Email:** cbrinkm2@gmail.com

Phone: 4199026625

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-v5o4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5800 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jfv-dmit **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5801 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patricia Clayborn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

P Clayborn California, USA

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-dqk9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5802 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Hagan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Michael Hagan

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-d7f3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5803 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patricia Bedford

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Patricia Bedford

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-6qov Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5805 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Saxton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land! It's time for you to start upholding your oath to the Constitution!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-ip01 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5806 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nickelman JONES

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-tgw8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5807 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Richard

Address:

6 Highland Ct Pittsburgh, 15206

Email: Paulsrichard@gmail.com

Phone: 504-388-6052

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Paul S Richard, MD

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-2omt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5808 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Troy Taylor

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Troy Taylor

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-858f Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5809 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Wammack

Address: 187 Oak St.

Summerville, GA, 30747

Email: knighthawke69@hotmail.com

Phone: (706) 802-7691

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State

Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which

includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates

this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or

ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a

government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban

3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second

Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-m2v3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5810 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Butler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-mjib Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5811 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Manning

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

William Manning

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-ib1o Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5812 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Gettman

Address:

3919 Griffin Rd. Syracuse, NY, 13215 **Email:** mikeanon@twcny.rr.com

Phone: 315-469-6125

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-nwcy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5813 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JOHN OBRIEN

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-d3li Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5814 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Krenik

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-nrlm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5815 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Matlock

Address:

902 Hazel Falls Dr Manchester, MO, 63021

Email: cmatlock2001@sbcglobal.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Charles D Matlock

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-f4lj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5816 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gloria Costanza

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfv-16hs Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5818 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Howard Trimble

Address:

1480 Soldier Creek Road Grants Pass, OR, 97526 **Email:** hltrimble@hotmail.com

Phone: 541-226-9223

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-mgrb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5819 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frederick Giannini

Address:

140 Elgin Way Bushkill, PA, 18324 **Email:** caligula54@aol.com **Phone:** 570-588-2587

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Frederick Giannini

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-x0v8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5820 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-rf8u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5821 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-0461 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5823 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Christopher Quick

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Christopher S. Quick

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-gvvf **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5824 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Erik Grooves

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Law abiding tax paying citizen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-07rt
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5826 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Granger

Address:

485 Belloak Drive

Spring Creek, NV, 89815 **Email:** mogranger@digis.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-1id5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5827 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dwayne Gallups

Address:

30 Hancock Road Phenix City, AL, 36869

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-t0dg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5828 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, An American citizen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-am4r Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5830 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Burrows

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Burrows Clinton, UT

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jfu-rdns Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5831 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William D. King

Address:

19005 Rock Maple Drive Hagerstown, MD, 21742 Email: GoTeam95@aol.com

Phone: 301-964-6147

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Respectfully,

William D. King

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-hjhf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5832 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Weber

Address:

1689 Four Notch Rd Carrollton, GA, 30116 Email: weberj@live.com Phone: 770-809-9046

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land.

Sincerely, Joseph Weber

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-d23v **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5833 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Devin Castor

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

Posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-x20a Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5835 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: W. Cabe Address:

7664 Stonebridge Rd. Carnesville, GA, 30521 Email: wccabe@gfb.org Phone: 706-384-2222

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-w4qi Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5836 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Garland

Address:

367 Hazlettville Rd. Hartly, DE, 19953 **Email:** garlandc@netbox.com

Phone: 847-477-7235

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. I believe this is a violation of our First Amendment rights!

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Chris Garland

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-k3u3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5837 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stuart MacIntyre

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-2kb3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5838 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Todd Albright

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-6z30 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5839 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Walton

Address:

13851 Greenfield Avenue

P. O. Box 488

Maugansville, MD, 21767-0488 **Email:** spring1903@verizon.net

Phone: 301-739-6742

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Robert Walton

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-fwfu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5840 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Beverly Kelly

Address:

5115 N. Dysart Rd. Litchfield Park, AZ, 85340

Email: bjk38@cox.net **Phone:** 6233935729

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-gydi Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5841 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joe Acosta

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Joe Acosta

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-6dvz Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5842 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Marshall

Address:

2875 Westwood Lane

Apt 2

Carmichael, CA, 95608 **Email:** Jamarshall78@gmail.com

Phone: 9162618392

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

John Marshall

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-jz5e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5843 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brandon Hamilton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfu-7p4s Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5844 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Galletti

Address:

6222 Nelwood Road Parma Heights, OH, 44130 **Email:** mjgalletti@gmail.com

Phone: 2162245940

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Michael Galletti

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh8-g9xl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5846 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Conrad McDowell

Address:

216 W 4th St.

Cameron, MO, 64429

Email: conradmcdowell@gmail.com

Phone: 8167245774

Organization: Gun Owners of America

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh8-uy0b Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5849 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Glennon Nenninger

Address:

229 Saint Lawrence Blvd Northville, MI, 48168-1567 **Email:** gnenning@comcast.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh8-ydx2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5850 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Geoffrey Hoffa

Address:

3120 W. Carefree Highway Suite 1, PMB# 529 Phoenix, AZ, 85086

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh8-5afh **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5851 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Barton Phelps

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh8-5a08 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5852 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Holley

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh8-m76p **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5853 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: wil,liaM PETERSON

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh7-64hr

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5858 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Murray

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh6-ehot Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5860 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh6-2g05 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5866 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh5-tske Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5873 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 17, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jh4-ud1b
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5877 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Craig Workman

Address:

9558 Schiller BLVD Franklin Park, IL, 60131 Email: workmanc@gmail.com

Phone: 7732096351

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh4-t3u6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5878 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lou Fisher

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh4-fp2e **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5879 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ray McKinney

Address:

3215 Canal St. Ruston, LA, 71270 Email: pshot22@aol.com Phone: 318-255-0318

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. Rewriting "regulations" by those who will enforce those regulations debases us from "a government by laws to a government by men." You can take whatever license with words that you choose to create any meaning you desire, rather than the intent of laws as passed by Congress. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh4-fgi8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5880 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh4-ueck Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5885 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I fully agree with the following comment.

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh3-3ubt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5888 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 17, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh2-c66q **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5905 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

The proposal is unconstitutional and serves no greater purpose for the people and feels like just another attempt to further silence and suppress us and keep us from living as true Americans.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh2-y0ok Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5908 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ben Swartz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh2-krsm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5914 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeff Hendrix Sr

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh2-kxq5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5915 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh1-1y6f Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5916 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: J.P. Garfield

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh1-j7ja Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5917 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. I believe it violates both the First and Second Amendments to our Constitution.

Anyone who violates this regulatory provision is in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines, thanks to the new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet).

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers, which I see as a vital force to streamline our economy.

These new regulations must be repealed in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land, and there's no point in crippling our economy.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 17, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jh1-19q1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5922 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James A Thornhill Jr

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jht-cyca Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5926 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Brookshire

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Robert Brookshire

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhr-a3uo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5933 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhr-so71 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5935 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: J Rusnak

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhq-6j8k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5937 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Knapp

Address:

10102 SW 82nd Lane Gainesville, FL, 32608

Email: kubby.communications@gmail.com

Phone: 3525050671

General Comment

The only reasonable answer to this proposal is "no."

The State Department doesn't get to control press or speech, online or off.

You don't have to like it. That's how it is whether you like it or not.

The following, intended to be incorporated into this comment, is an op-ed (for newspaper publication) which I just wrote:

Speech and Guns: Freedom is Indivisible by Thomas L. Knapp

A few years ago libertarian novelist and essayist L. Neil Smith wrote a prescient piece on victim disarmament (called, by its supporters, "gun control"). Smith is a prescient guy -- he also predicted, among other things, the digital watch and the fall of the Soviet Union. I can't find the piece at the moment, so I'll paraphrase:

It's impossible to effectually outlaw guns without also outlawing writing, speaking and thinking about guns. And it's impossible to effectually outlaw writing, speaking and thinking about guns without outlawing writing, speaking and thinking, period.

Thinking isn't a strong suit among victim disarmament advocates, but I guess one of them read the article. A couple of years ago, the US State Department ordered Cody Wilson of Defense Distributed to remove 3D printing files for the "Liberator" pistol from the Internet, pending a ruling on whether the posting of those plans constituted an illegal "weapons export." In early June, the US State Department filed a new rule proposal on the Federal Register.

The rule is in a combination of bureaucratese and technical jargon, but it boils down to the US government requiring anyone who wants to publish "technical data" on the Internet (where it can be downloaded by foreigners, making it an "export"), relating to how to build weapons, to get the State Department's permission.

We've been around this tree before. Back in the 1990s, the government went after proponents of "strong crypto" for making their software available globally, treating cryptographic algorithms as "munitions" for "export" purposes. Hilarity ensued as cypherpunks arrived at airports wearing t-shirts with the following three lines of Perl on them:

Those three lines of code implemented the RSA cryptographic algorithm. Which meant that flying abroad wearing the t-shirt constituted "unauthorized export of a munition."

The government ended up backing away from that battle. As a result, all of us now have relatively easy access to tools that help us protect the privacy of our information. Now they're back, trying to throttle free speech in the name of "arms proliferation control" abroad and, implicitly, "gun control" here at home.

The right to think, speak and publish as we please is inseparably linked -- both morally and practically -- to the right to keep and bear arms. It's impossible to support one without supporting the other. And as we can see, the State Department now intends to destroy the latter by destroying the former.

The required "comment period" runs through August 3rd. You can read the proposal and register your opposition to it at:

http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=DOS-2015-0023-0001

If legal opposition doesn't work, the necessary next step is open defiance. This is not a battle Americans can afford to lose.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhq-5ukr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5938 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Thomas

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhq-nnb1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5939 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose this rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control software- and gunrelated speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. For telling someone how to make a 3d printer? Or how to load a firearm they legally own?

So posting information on virtually any 3d printer part, firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhq-ci2s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5941 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Maribel Arpero

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Maribel Arpero

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhq-ac4k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5942 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jesrel Mitre

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jesrel Mitre

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhq-pgr9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5944 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Linda Lewis

Address:

22523 Mayfield Rd. Fayetteville, AR, 72703

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Department's arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language--which includes "making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)" --could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violator would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word "software" into these regulations, as it appears to be a not -so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jho-5itv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5949 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Shepard

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jho-a39g **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5954 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jho-tyf1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5955 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Organization: Gun Owners of America

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhm-zjxm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5957 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: MIKE DEWALT

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mike A DeWalt

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhm-hrym **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5958 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wilfredo Dizon

Address:

2045 Rock Lake Loop Virginia Beach, VA, 23456

Email: Chilly willy dizon@yahoo.com

Phone: (757)613-2181

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhi-raa6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Submission Type: Wei

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5963 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anomymous Anomymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety and please stop trying to weave around the Constitution.

Thank you,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhi-powi **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5964 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael H

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators could potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety and rewrite them.

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhg-bwe8 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5968 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Norman Sterrett

Address: MD,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 18, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhg-6k7m Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5969 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Benjamin Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhe-nh0b Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5976 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

The rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet, is unconstitutional, and I thoroughly oppose it. Any infringement on our First Amendment Rights is unacceptable. One can not pick and choose which speech (written, verbal, electronic, etc) is acceptable and which is not, that in itself flies in the face of why the First Amendment was written.

Anyone who violates this regulatory provision is in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines, thanks to the new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet).

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers, which I see as a vital force to streamline our economy.

These new regulations must be repealed in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land, and there's no point in crippling our economy.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhe-z0f4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5977 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: J Toomer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

J Toomer

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhd-irfg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5979 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Ramsey

Address:

600 Atlantic St Roseville, CA, 95678 **Phone:** 916-783-7267

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhd-ktm6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5980 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: william doench

Address:

247 wheaton ct loveland, OH, 45140 **Email:** supersoul55@msn.com

Phone: 5136831695

General Comment

strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

Please do not reply directly to this message, as your reply will bounce back as undeliverable. Click here to share this article with Friends and Family!

Gun Owners of America
8001 Forbes Place, Suite 102

Springfield, VA 22151 703-321-8585

www.gunowners.org

Contact Form

If this alert was forwarded to you, you can subscribe to receive alerts directly by clicking here.

Unsubscribe

Powered by CQRC Engage

Too many newsletters? You can unsubscribe.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhd-dbfu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5981 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhd-fvt8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5982 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: carol sebastian

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhd-r5i5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5983 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jerry Day

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jhc-gdu4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5986 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Littleton

Address:

442 South State #105 Clearfield, UT, 84015

Email: littletongeorge@yahoo.com

Phone: 84015

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhc-f2ui Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5990 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhb-w4i8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5991 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: B.R. Kelso

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhb-kkzs Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5993 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: LARRY ROETTGER

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhb-1zfa Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5994 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Ippolito

Address:

356 Springhouse Drive Lewisburg, PA, 17837

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jhb-laus Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-5995 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Kerber

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jha-g08y Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6001 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Guy Bivens

Address:

12271 Yankeetown Pike Mount Sterling, OH, 43143 **Email:** gusbiv@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jha-2nda **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6004 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jha-34lo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6006 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cynthia Upton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 18, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jha-4cej Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6008 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sharon J

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh9-pesh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6014 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lee Davis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Lee Davis

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 17, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 18, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jh9-3ux7 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6018 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gerald Biggs

Address:

674 Stage Road

Sanbornton, NH, 03269 **Email:** biggsbrothers@hotmail.com

Phone: 603-744-3806

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jyi-mva1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6025 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 10, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jwl-zuw5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6060 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: D. Connors

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 09, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jvx-cqi4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6100 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Mathis

Address:

3701 Woodcrest Road NW Roanoke, VA, 24012 **Email:** lisanjeff2@cox.net **Phone:** 5405978731

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

As a citizen and armed service veteran of the United States, I strongly oppose the proposed rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR). Changes as found in the current proposed rules could potentially grant the State Department wide-ranging powers to monitor, control and hence penalize innocuous gun-related free speech on the Internet.

The new language under consideration would interpret the posting of any and all technical data via a United States public network (e.g., the Internet) -- as a violation of this provision and places said individual, group or organization in danger of facing litigation by the full fury of the United States Government.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. As an example, the mere act of a fathers boast in the blog of your choice on the dimensions of their child's shot groupings is performance data hence dissemination of technical data via an unsecured communication domain accessible by foreign entities. Thus making such free speech subject to significant criminal

penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I do not support adoption of the proposed rule change and urge repeal of these regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land. Thank you!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 09, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jvp-vucq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6144 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen skinner

General Comment

The attempt to hallucinate a new definition to "exports," thereby outlawing the free speech to discuss and share information on firearms and Cody Wilsons's 3-D gun blueprint/download for "The Liberator," if a joke.

Do you really think that Americans are so stupid as to believe this? Do NOT outlaw free speech and Mr. Wilson's 3-D gun blueprints. Guns are here to stay. The technology exists and you cannot magically erase it.

The proposed rule under discussion is blatantly illegal, and it is so obvious that the US Dept of State is becoming an embarrassing joke. Save what little integrity the Dept still has and do not enact this rule. Thank you.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 08, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jv6-797f

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6157 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dr. Jodi Zender

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 07, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8juf-o9du **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6164 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Howard Shucart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 06, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8ju3-isqw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6167 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Warner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 06, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8ju1-1b6b Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6169 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 06, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jtw-2gmo **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6175 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Yvonne Bonfield

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 06, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jtl-ebaa Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6182 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

All citizens must obey the laws. Enforcing laws on the American citizens while disregarding constitutional rights is wrong. It's especially wrong when thousands upon thousands of illegal immigrant criminals are released upon the USA streets and millions more more freely break the laws of the land, yet the law abiding legal citizens constitutional rights to freedom of speech and self protection could become punishable offenses. That's wrong. I hope you will be wise to not take away our constitutional rights. Especially now when our own government DOJ was trafficking weapons last year to the drug cartels in Mexico. Please don't punish the USA citizens when there's so much lawlessness and corruption that is never punished but rewarded. That's wrong. Please keep our constitutional rights in order

Thank you for considering the American citizens & our constitutional rights.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 05, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jte-mspq **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6183 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Terencde Charles

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 05, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jtb-ozc0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6184 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 05, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jt9-pa8q Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6186 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Constantine

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 05, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jt8-7yof
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6188 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cristian Banu

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 05, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jt7-bzi4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of

Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6189 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Woodruff

Address:

235 Eaton Rd. SE Rio Rancho, 87124

Email: sewoodruff@cableone.net

Phone: 5053503157

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 05, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jsu-z7nb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6195 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 05, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jst-kvls **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6196 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eustina Carlton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 04, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jsj-kx65 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6198 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chuck Otto

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet and bypass the powers of Congress to make laws and protect Constitutional rights. These new "regulatory rewrites" seriously infringe upon every U.S. Citizen's first AND second amendment rights.

The new language, which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network," puts anyone who violates this very broad regulatory language in danger of facing criminal prosecution, decades in prison and crushing fines.

Posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring not only government permission, but also potentially a government license, and violators could face serious criminal penalties for exercising the Constitutional rights guaranteed for all citizens.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them, as well as any other software for use in manufacturing ammunition or firearms by private persons - or anyone, for that matter.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because, whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of our land! Allowing such arbitrary rules to function as laws will ultimately bring on costly, time consuming litigation throughout the federal court system.

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 04, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jsd-j6yd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6199 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kathryn Cason

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Kathryn L Cason Arkansas

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 04, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jsb-ypdg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6200 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shane Gainan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators could potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety and rewrite them.

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 03, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8js2-1gq8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6201 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: rae H

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

That's it!
I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!
For Our Freedoms,
President of PreparedGunOwners.com
UGAR LLC 2215 Plank Road #111 Fredericksburg, VA 22401 United States Obama should be impeached as many have indicated. It is fellt that he is out to destroy the USA as we know it.
It's time to fish or cut bait!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 03, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jrr-mllc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6203 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 03, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jrr-5e9j Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6205 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Simmons

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 03, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jrq-s014 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6206 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 03, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jrq-hlbg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6207 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Story

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators could potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety and rewrite them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 02, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jr4-7y8m Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6215 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Noemi Soto

Address:

10259 S Parker Rd str 109 Parker, CO, 80134

Email: rchristensen07@gmail.com

Phone: 7203390142

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 02, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jr4-wjt5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of

Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6216 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Randal Christensen

Address:

10259 S Parker Rd str 109 Parker, CO, 80134

Email: rchristensen07@gmail.com

Phone: 7203390142

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 02, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jr4-syai

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6217 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Ockler

Address:

8061 Cole Rd. Colden, NY, 14033 Email: harleedawg@aol.com

Phone: 7169974798

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 02, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jr3-ka37 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6219 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: frank tuft

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 02, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 15 852

Tracking No. 1jz-8jr3-7rvj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6220 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Norman Beers

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 02, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jr0-86pg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6223 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Doug Tabor

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqr-4ui4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6226 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators could potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety and rewrite them.

I will also write my Congressmen alerting them that this is alarming to me and poses a threat to civil rights! I find this type regulation to be offensive for an American to have our government see the 2nd Amendment right to bear arms as a threat, it is a right. I do however feel the obligation to follow laws and stringent safety. We are not the enemy.

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqq-qzy0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6228 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chad Messer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: July 01, 2015 Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jqp-nd4k

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6232 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alfred Grimaldi

Address:

5 Collyer Drive Ossining, NY, 10562 **Email:** bmg500@verizon.net

Phone: 914 941 9264

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqn-ybmr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6234 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jqj-huel Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6239 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin Johnson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqj-4h8b Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6240 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Marion Howard

Address:

2890 Belden Street

Sacramento, CA, 95815-1731 **Email:** onephantom2003@yahoo.com

Phone: N/A

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqj-x8wi Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6241 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their proposed state.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqi-ahbq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6242 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Betts

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqi-mfkw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6243 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jqi-kkk9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6244 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqi-w4gj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6245 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ed Campbell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqi-rdmy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6246 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mary Ellen Litton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqg-ij6x **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6249 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patsy Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:


I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.



That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqf-3hok Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6252 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Haldy

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqf-uk51 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6253 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Peer Address: United States,

Email: danielwpeer@gmail.com

Phone: 2108441148

General Comment

I have a serious concerns about these changes, specifically, the "clarification" of the term "Technical Data" and the revision to the definition of "Public Domain." The concerns I have are founded in the changes to what qualifies as "technical data" and what requirements are put on the any data that would be considered "Public Domain." The chief concern here is that common citizens exercising their 1st Amendment rights via the internet could be found to be violating ITAR simply because the internet could be deemed to be a method of distributing/exporting the technical data internationally. Additionally, I am concerned that these changes censor the producers of firearms and, thereby, restrict what can enter the Public Domain, effectively circumventing free speech. It also appears that the changes would effect the distribution of 3D-print plans for firearms that would enable widespread public production of the firearms - if it does or is intended to, then the Congress should establish Laws concerning this and the DOS should not modify Government code to effectively write law concerning this issue.

Changes of note:

- 1. Paragraph (a)(1) of the revised definition defines technical data as information required for the development, production, operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of a defense article
- 2. The requirements of paragraph (b) are not new. Rather, they are a more explicit statement of the ITAR's requirement that one must seek and receive a license or other authorization from the Department

or other cognizant U.S. government authority to release ITAR controlled technical data, as defined in 120.10. A release of technical data may occur by disseminating technical data at a public conference or trade show, publishing technical data in a book or journal article, or posting technical data to the Internet. This proposed provision will enhance compliance with the ITAR by clarifying that technical data may not be made available to the public without authorization. Persons who intend to discuss technical data at a conference or trade show, or to publish it, must ensure that they obtain the appropriate authorization.

Information on firearm maintenance, repair, installation of parts/modifications, refurbishing the weapon, and certainly the operation of the weapon are all things that should be freely allowed to be distributed over the internet per the 1st amendment. I, and any other firearm owners or prospective owners, need technical specifications and other information to make informed buying decisions and to have access to training materials for proper upkeep, repair, and usage of our firearms. Any legislation that prevents firearms manufacturers from releasing to the public any specifications on their firearms without government consent effectively prohibits free speech because it controls what enters the "Public Domain." Furthermore, preventing those people with knowledge of these specifications from disseminating that information on the internet, though it may be gained through their LEGAL experience in use and/or ownership of the firearms and not a technical manual, directly prohibits the free speech of any US citizen that wishes to speak about a firearm. Concerning any firearms that US Citizens may legally obtain (Upon which, there should also be no limit), there should be no statute that prevents a person from stating their own opinions about the use of a firearm, providing video instruction of how to disassemble/repair/clean/maintain a firearm, providing written instructions on how to disassemble/repair/clean/maintain a firearm, or distribute copies of service manuals that are not protected by copyright laws - all via the internet. It cannot be truthfully said that the dissemination of technical specifications on any firearms currently available for sale to the public constitutes a risk to the safety of US Miltary forces or the US Public.

I request that careful consideration of the effects of these changes be made to insure that The People's Freedom of Speech is not infringed and that assurances be provided in the language of the rule to The People that our liberties are not being challenged. Great care should be taken to insure that no violations of the 1st (Or, 2nd) Amendment arise as a result of any the proposed changes to ITAR. As an example, if this change were to prevent bloggers, video bloggers, online publications, publications made freely available online, etc from disseminating information, such as available calibers or instructions on how disassemble a firearm, then it would be a clear violation of our 1st Amendment Right and this change should not be adopted. These changes should instead be modified to protect 1st Amendment Rights, as Protecting The People's Rights should be the chief concern of our government.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqf-01qm **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6254 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew Gulfo Address: United States,

Email: mpconstructs@aol.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jqf-obz7

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6256 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Donald Willey

Address:

636 Cathedral Dr. # 703 Rapid City, SD, 57701 **Email:** edwlms@juno.com **Phone:** 605-791-5808

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jqe-fpp6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6257 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Marcia Hoy

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqe-c84k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6258 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: mike Turner Address: 4719 Frank Gay Rd

4719 Frank Gay Rd Marcellus, NY, 13108

Email: mike.turner@welchallyn.com

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqe-psye Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of

Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6261 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Johnson

Address:

6988 E Lk. Carlos DrNE Carlos, MN, 56319 Email: pkj@gctel.net Phone: 320-762-8850

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqc-i5mx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6263 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqc-6b6e Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6264 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jqb-ap0q Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6265 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David LeBlanc

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jqa-1xii

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6266 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: July 01, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jqa-6hje

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6267 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jack Baker Address:

7745 W Calla Rd Canfield, OH, 44406

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jq9-5e0q Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6268 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thoran Menser

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq8-coyy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6269 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Johnny Castillo

General Comment

(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or

not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!
That's it!
I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

For Our Freedoms,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq6-olnl **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6271 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: william m. van ginneken

Address:

552 u.s. route 2w wilton, ME, 04294

Email: teddy555@myfairpoint.net

Phone: 207-645-2204

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

UGAR LLC | 2215 Plank Road #111 | Fredericksburg, VA 22401 | United States

UGAR LLC | 2215 Plank Road #111 | Fredericksburg, VA 22401 | United States

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq5-yjwb **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6272 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew McKamey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq5-6f4y Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6273 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James j Daly Address:

1326 so 3rd st Philadelphia, PA, 19147 **Email:** Jimd429@gmail.com **Phone:** 215 439 3023

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq5-ov3s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6274 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq4-nwwf **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6275 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fred Clark

Address:

311 Knob Rd Pikeville, TN, 37367

Email: fcipa@myway.com Phone: 423 881 5818

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because the First and Second Amendments were passed to protect Americans from over broad laws that make it possible for ordinary speaking situations to become illegal and subject to penalties.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jq4-ei3z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6276 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq4-iyxc **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6277 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Johnston

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** July 01, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq4-4so0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6278 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roger Smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 30, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq3-ubkv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research: Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6279 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Vickie Triana

Address:

2004 Overglen Dr Plano, TX.

Email: tianarp@gmail.com Phone: 972-423-2896

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq2-gkog Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6280 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Wilson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq2-kv5g Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6281 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq2-kgx4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6283 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Reddick

Address:

718 Hibiscus Ave Lake Wales, FL, 33853 **Email:** res1fc8x@verizon.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq2-3ujx Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6284 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Donald Brady

Address:

1007 Honeysuckle Ct Berea, KY, 40403 Email: brute2@windstream.net

Phone: 859-353-7467

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq1-f13z Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6285 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Bonds

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq1-6p4z **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6286 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frank Van Dyke

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq1-40yd **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6287 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Sparks

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq1-91iv **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6288 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Carroll

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq1-opm9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6289 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dieter Nuebel

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq1-5nv8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6290 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Rossi

Address:

26351 Stonewall Lane Bonita Springs, FL, 34135 **Email:** rossinautfla@icloud.com

Phone: 239 777 o357

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them. Give me Liberty or give me death.

P.S. About 15 years ago, a burglar broke into my condo on the 5th floor. He was about 250 lbs, black and with his hands full of some of our stolen items in our condo. I am only about 5 feet - 6 inches tall, and about 150 lbs. I was only able to chase him out of our condo with an iron barbell that I had under my bed. Back then it was the only weapon I had. Needless to say, after that horrifying experience, I now own a pistol and I keep in in short distance from my be, but safely up at the top of a shelf. I will not sleep without it...to protect my wife and myself....ever again. "You will have to pry my gun from my cold, dead hands"....as somebody once said.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq0-w4nu Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6295 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jq0-7v6s **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6296 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: charles dickerson

General Comment

----- Pre-written letter to the Department of State ----- (Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpz-sd0v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6297 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert & Teri L Yzaguirre

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land! And this of course, would then open the door ultimately, to any speech(conversation) they deem unacceptable, leaving all of our interactions monitored. That is UNACCEPTABLE.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jpz-jc6v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6298 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Renee Esch

Address:

1022 William Drive Goshen, IN, 46526

Email: maggie.esch@juno.com

Phone: 574-534-2826

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpz-wkus Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6299 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

F

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpz-5vwb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6301 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpz-e2fs **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6302 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpz-rdng **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6303 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: PHILLIP CLARK

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpz-by6a Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6306 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roger Castle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpz-7kih **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6307 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

----- Pre-written letter to the Department of State -----(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I can't believe that this administration continually freely brakes the laws of the land which were put into place so that we could continue to move forward not backwards and things just like this r just another example of what's going to happen after this parties reign is over with . I firmly believe that the law will firmly be enacted when the time comes and reality will hit all of you and hard ,"HARD"!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-xml2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6308 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: BRADLEY ANDERSON

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-u4ij Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6309 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-vzbd Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6310 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: frank stines

General Comment

Pre-written letter to the Department of State ----- (Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle

effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

UGAR LLC | 2215 Plank Road #111 | Fredericksburg, VA 22401 | United States

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-zm5p Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6311 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-woeh Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6312 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-6a51 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6313 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-9b7v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6314 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Howard Papineau

Address:

P.o. Box 124

Perkinsville, VT, 05151 Email: Hpapineau@icloud.com

Phone: 802-591-2170

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-rool
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6316 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cathy Jackson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-617v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6317 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Russell S Harris Jr

Address:

345 Faciane Lane Slidell, LA, 70460-3519

Email: harrirs@gmail.com Phone: 985-639-3834

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-eu1u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6318 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim H

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpy-qbhh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6319 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites INFRINGE upon every American's FIRST AND SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHTS in our CONSTITUTION.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also OPPOSE the addition of the word SOFTWARE and "HARDWARE" into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-8w2p Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6320 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Gaiser Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-8ox1 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6321 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: james becquart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-f8n6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6322 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joan Weber

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-qa03 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6323 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-wbkm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

efinitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6324 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Ryan

Address:

45 Juniper Street

So. Farmingdale, NY, 11735-5318 **Email:** bryan45@sprintmail.com

Phone: (516)454-1575

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-i2yx **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6325 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Sagers

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-6qpp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6327 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-hn4a **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6328 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Thompson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-ng6t

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6329 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Shaw

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-u737 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6330 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Arthur Roach

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-yfte **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6331 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bryan Pritchard

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-dfbb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6332 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Schultz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 30, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-au7a

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 **Submission Type:** Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research: Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6333 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jean Passineau

Address:

3 Wedgewood Ct Alamogordo, NM, 88310

Email: cpasineau6959@msn.com Phone: 575-434-6959

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpx-wpmx **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6334 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cindy Abbott

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely Serious!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-egk7 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6335 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffery Sheely

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 30, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-15v7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research: Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6336 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: carl kerstetter

Address:

8438 w.sweetwarer ave. peoria, az. 85381

peoria, AZ, 85381 Email: carlk48@hotmail.com Phone: 623-255-6718

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-pijz **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6337 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Ryman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.



I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-4nmm Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6338 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: joe b holguin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-ek88 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6340 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Choate

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-mxc1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6341 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Rende

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

This government did not mind my skill with and use of firearms in the 20 years of my US Army career. This veteran feels this new regulation update is an insult to my character and my steadfast love of this country.

Also, the casual communication with a friend concerning an owned firearm is covered by the First Amendment of the U.S. Consitution. How does this government figure it has to right to modify/restrict a citizen's right to free speech? It doesn't involve any antigovernment conspiracy.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-fcph Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6342 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-1thv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6343 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-vt9j Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6345 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kenneth Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-2m2w Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6346 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Tuttle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-50vy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6347 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Bagby

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-e5p8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6348 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-t458 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6349 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Potter Address: United States,

General Comment

----- Pre-written letter to the Department of State -----(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-3uix Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6350 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-auj1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6351 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kent Townley

Address:

725 Harmony St Lebanon, OR, 97355 **Email:** kent@greenberry.com

Phone: 541-738-2141

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-lp98 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6352 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Crum

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-mb42 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6353 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Karen Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 30, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-373z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research: Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6354 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JIM MILLSAP

Address:

214 E. CENTER St.

COLLINSVILLE, OK, 74021 Email: jimmillsap1@hotmail.com

Phone: 9188536080

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-vzgy Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6355 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Stamulis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-b6ar Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6356 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: pam Rivi Address: 3047 Greenwood Ave

Highland Park, IL, 60035 **Email:** gonegolfing 1@aol.com

Phone: 8474325117

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-7lwg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6357 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JoAn Cullinane

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-edzc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6358 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-i10i Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6359 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: BARBARA STEWART

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-dho4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6360 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JOHN YERGER

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpw-11zk Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6361 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James silverthorn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpv-18nd Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6362 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpt-d9cv Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6364 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daron Johnson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpr-16f7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6366 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: conner bagshaw

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 30, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpp-baro Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6368 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Glenn Ackerman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Case 2:20-cv-00111-RAJ	Document 107-16	Filed 09/23/20	Page 916 of 1000

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpf-dp3s Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6372 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rickey Newman Address:

100 Odlin Avenue Dayton, OH, 45405

Email: rickeyln@roadrunner.com

Phone: 9376209121

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpf-gnm3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6373 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators could potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety and rewrite them.

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jpa-ptnl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6382 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: gary toothman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jpa-xip5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6383 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Neal Skoubo

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp9-d2h1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6384 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Hovater

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I strongly urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Not only does this conflict with my Second Amendment, it also would take away my First Amendment and the last I checked these two Amendments are still the law pertaining to me as an American citizen.

Sincerely,

Thomas L. Hovater

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp8-asvu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6387 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Douglass Address:

410 Waterford Drive Jonesboro, GA, 30238

Email: bdouglass@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp7-b2gg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6388 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: EMS Anonymous

General Comment

whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

	Case 2:20-cv-00111-RAJ	Document 107-16	Filed 09/23/20	Page 924 of 1000			

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 29, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** July 13, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jp5-aroz Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research: Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6393 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian J Morgan

Address:

3117 Birchwood Ave Bellingham, WA, 98225 Email: bim42602@msn.com

Phone: 3603198881

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp5-lcmx **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6394 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ron winslow

Address:

3558 w sands dr glendale, AZ, 85310

Email: winslow ronald@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp4-tia4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6396 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lena Hitt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jp4-tjoh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6398 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Gross

Address:

15253 S. Stanford Way Sparks, NV, 89431 **Email:** agross1455@gmail.com

Phone: 775-221-4638

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp3-kuqp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6399 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: MICHAEL MYERS

General Comment

(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp3-00vm **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6401 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp2-sc5u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6402 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Shearer

Address:

49822 2nd Ave,

Grand Junctiion, MI, 49056 **Email:** shearerrl@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp1-miy7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6404 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: linda eberline

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Linda Eberline

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jp0-bsk7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6405 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8joz-njaj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6407 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Noland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jox-wiap Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6409 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jow-q99w Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6410 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jou-gp5d Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6415 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: mike smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jou-f83f Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6418 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern: I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ... The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet# -- could put anyone who violates this #very broad# language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama #or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 29, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jos-slg8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6420 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alice Hatfield

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jor-jkqk Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6421 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bobbie Arthur

Address:

205 S Griffith St Elnora, IN,

Email: Bobbiearthur3@aol.com

Phone: 8127870426

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jor-m4ap Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6422 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includesmaking technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)-- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8joq-u25z Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6423 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

----- Pre-written letter to the Department of State ----- (Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joq-pclk
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6424 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Hendrick

Address:

291 Alcott Avenue Inwood, WV, 25428-4426

Email: hendrick_mike@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joq-mral Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6425 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Henry Stahl

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jop-aj1i **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6428 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Brandon

Address:

6327 Old Jacksonboro Rd Ravenel, SC, 29470 **Email:** tbrandon20@aol.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jop-umt2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6429 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jop-yxmc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6432 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Gearhart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jop-rxnr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6434 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8joo-kbsc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6435 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: LYLE SHECKLER

Address:

7241 DORR STREET TOLEDO, OH, 43615-4109 Email: lyle.sheckler@sbcglobal.net

Phone: 4199446489

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on THE INTERNET.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data AVAILABLE via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D PRINTERS and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joo-ku7p **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6436 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Scrivner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joo-57uy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6437 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tom Gallagher

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8j00-jwgc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6438 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Noska

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jon-fjq6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6440 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

----- Pre-written letter to the Department of State ----- (Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jon-i8qt
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6442 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Cyr

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jom-ymfj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6443 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Keeran

Address:

3916 N Potsdam Ave # 2898 Sioux Falls, SD, 57104 **Email:** usmcrd72@gmail.com

Phone: 651-437-7660

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jom-xzzf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6444 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jom-y31z **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6445 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Anonymous

General Comment

Pre-written letter to the Department of State ---- (Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:


I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.



That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jom-7mpv Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6447 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Bradshaw

Address:

4667 Diamond St Claremont, NC, 28610 **Email:** rdbrad09@gmail.com

Phone: 8284591675

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jom-d7ux
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6448 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Clare Keeling

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jol-e3rs

Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6451 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jol-431e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6452 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Tarlo

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jol-5n36 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6453 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jerry Robison

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jol-ru3j Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6454 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jol-5x0u **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6455 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sue Blackmore

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jol-g0zl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6456 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ivan Jenkins

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includesmaking technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)-- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jok-yusj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6457 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Pat Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jok-rcys
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6458 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Pender

Address:

PO Box 795 Springtown, TX, 76082

Email: rpender25@yahoo.com

Phone: 817-716-0154

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jok-2p5q **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6459 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Cotton

Address:

1865 A1A S.

St. Augustine, FL, 32080 **Email:** brenrichcot@att.net **Phone:** 904-468-0085

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jok-rqsp Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6460 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Taylor Address: United States,

Email: garytaylor95988@sbcglobal.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jok-6ojs
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6461 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Evans

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8joj-c1uf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6463 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: c batten

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joj-amkb **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6464 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Vern Lenox

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joj-q533 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6465 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joj-doo7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6467 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Bailey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8joj-372b Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6468 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Olivia Tuttle

Address:

307 jasmine dr., madison, AL, 35757 **Email:** o tuttle@hotmail.com

Phone: 2566145971

General Comment

whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joj-fulh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6469 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Durough

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joi-ia8y Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6470 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:


I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.



That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8joi-2zft Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6472 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Lincoln

Address:

15635 Livingston Road Accokeek, MD, 20607

Email: charleslincoln635@yahoo.com

Phone: 301-292-9678

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration

as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators

could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect

3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and

Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8joi-sxeo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6474 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Johnston Address: United States,

General Comment

----- Pre-written letter to the Department of State -----(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8joi-2rfn

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6475 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Aaron Sherer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8joi-x1kf **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6476 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: cody baker

General Comment

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ... The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)-- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joi-mzra
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6477 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gloria Merriman

Address:

Cape May, NJ, 08204 **Email:** emailgloria@comcast.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Signed, Gloria Merriman Freedom Loving American

ITAR would take away freedom of expression for law-abiding citizens; levying fines and making them CRIMINALS. It will not alleviate violence - it will only increase the size (and cost) of government and it's reach into our lives.

The First and Second Amendments also give us transparency - for knowledge and discussion, and that is very important, too.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joi-sc2m **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6478 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Stockton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joi-xosb
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6479 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ben Mikell

General Comment

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the president (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joh-8x7d Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6481 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Goff

Address:

17330 S.W. 58th Street Southwest Ranches, FL, 33331 Email: gegoff2003@bellsouth.net

Phone: 7863671329

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joh-738q Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6484 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Kuipers

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joh-fonw **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6486 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Carley Franklin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joh-dvzb **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6487 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Keith Luxton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 28, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8joh-nd3c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6488 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stewart Hink

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.



I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com